# Synthesis, Structure–Activity Relationships, and Pharmacological Evaluation of Pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline Derivatives: Potent Histamine and Platelet Activating Factor Antagonism and 5-Lipoxygenase Inhibitory Properties. Potential Therapeutic Application in Asthma

Dominique Paris,<sup>\*,†</sup> Michel Cottin,<sup>†</sup> Patrice Demonchaux,<sup>†</sup> Guy Augert,<sup>‡</sup> Pierre Dupassieux,<sup>‡</sup> Patrick Lenoir,<sup>‡</sup> Michael J. Peck,<sup>‡</sup> and Daniel Jasserand<sup>†</sup>

Solvay Pharma, Laboratoires de Thérapeutique Moderne, 01400 Châtillon-sur-Chalaronne, France

Received August 31, 1994<sup>®</sup>

A series of pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline derivatives was synthesized and evaluated for their in vitro and in vivo activities against histamine, platelet activating factor (PAF), and leukotrienes which are recognized to be of importance in asthma. The structure-activity relationship studies have shown that the optimum moiety on the 1-position of the pyrroloquinoline nucleus is a 2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl chain in conjunction with a methyl group on the 2-position for potent antagonism of both histamine and PAF. The introduction of substituents on the 8- and 4-positions was also investigated in order to increase the potency of 5-lipoxygenase inhibition while retaining or improving the activities against histamine and PAF. This series is exemplified by 4-n-butyl-5,6-dihydro-8-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (24, KC 11404) which was found to be active against all three of the selected mediators. Compound 24 was found to be orally active in guinea pig models against the histaminic phase of antigen-induced bronchospasm and PAF-induced bronchoconstriction (ED<sub>50</sub> = 1.9 and 2.1  $\mu$ mol/kg, respectively). When tested against the leukotriene-dependent phase of the antigen-induced bronchoconstriction, compound 24 showed the same potency as zileuton.

### Introduction

Asthma affects approximately 5% of the population in most industrialized countries, and there are indications that its prevalence, severity, and mortality are increasing despite intensive drug therapy.<sup>1</sup> It is now recognized that the pathophysiology observed in asthma is the result of the effects of several different mediators (stored intracellularly and newly synthesized) which are released following exposure to airborne allergens. These mediators orchestrate, by complex interaction, changes in airway cell content and function which are characteristic of the disease.

Histamine has been implicated as a mediator in asthma since it was shown that the infusion of exogenous histamine could reproduce the effects of systemic anaphylaxis in guinea pigs.<sup>2</sup> It is now known that histamine plasma levels are raised during the early (and possibly late) phase of antigen-induced asthmatic reactions<sup>3</sup> and that base-line plasma histamine levels are elevated in stable asthma.<sup>4</sup> In animals, histamine has been implicated in the development of bronchial hyperreactivity following antigen challenge.<sup>5</sup>

Leukotrienes (metabolized from arachidonic acid via phospholipase  $A_2$  and 5-lipoxygenase enzymes) belong to a family of structurally-related compounds, the most active of which are the cysteinyl-containing leukotrienes  $C_4$ ,  $D_4$ , and  $E_4$  (LTC<sub>4</sub>, LTD<sub>4</sub>, LTE<sub>4</sub>) and the dihydroxy acid LTB<sub>4</sub>. Leukotrienes have been shown to induce many of the features of asthma both in animals and in man, including bronchoconstriction, mucus hypersecretion, increased vascular permeability,<sup>6</sup> pulmonary inflammatory cell recruitment,<sup>7</sup> and airway hyperresponsiveness.<sup>8</sup> LTB<sub>4</sub> is a potent stimulator of leukocytes and induces chemotaxis, chemokinesis, adherence, and aggregation.<sup>9</sup> Asthmatic airway tissue is capable of generating leukotrienes after exposure to inhaled antigen<sup>10</sup> and during acute asthmatic attacks.<sup>11</sup>

Platelet activating factor (PAF) is a pluripotent autacoid with a potential, though not well-defined, role in asthma.<sup>12</sup> It has been reported to cause a prolonged increase in bronchial hyperreactivity in humans<sup>13</sup> and is one of the most potent eosinophil chemotactic factors known.<sup>14</sup>

In clinical trials, selective antihistamines including azelastine, ketotifen, cetirizine, and terfenadine have demonstrated at least partial efficacy against bronchial asthma.<sup>15</sup> Leukotriene antagonists, such as ICI 204219, and leukotriene synthesis inhibitors, such as MK 886, have been reported to significantly reduce allergeninduced bronchoconstriction and airway hyperreactivity in atopic subjects.<sup>16</sup> However, neither type of drug was able to completely improve the symptoms in asthmatic patients.

Given the complexity of chronic asthma, it is unlikely that a single inflammatory mediator could account for all the pathophysiology.<sup>17</sup> Consequently, it is plausible that only drugs which are capable of simultaneously interfering with more than one mediator are likely to have any profound effect on the pathogenesis of the disease. With this in mind, new molecules were designed with the ability to simultaneously block histamine and PAF as well as inhibiting 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO).

This paper describes the synthesis, structure-activity relationships, and biological activity of a series of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Department of Chemistry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Department of Pharmacology.

<sup>\*</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, January 15, 1995.

Scheme  $1^a$ 



<sup>a</sup> Reagents: (a) *n*-BuLi, *n*-hexyl bromide,  $Et_2O$ , 5–20 °C; (b) NaCNBH<sub>3</sub>, AcOH; (c) R<sub>4</sub>Li, THF, 0–20 °C, or Li, *n*-BuLi, cyclohexyl chloride, petroleum ether, reflux; (d) Na, EtOH, reflux.

pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline derivatives I generically represented below. These compounds have been shown to



be active antihistamines, inhibitors of leukotriene synthesis, and antagonists of platelet activating factor, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. They offer the possibility of a novel multimediator approach to the treatment of allergic diseases such as asthma and rhinitis.

### Chemistry

The starting substituted tetrahydroquinolines (**VIa**– g)<sup>18</sup> were prepared by known methods as shown in Scheme 1. Compound VIh is commercially available. Compounds 1-19, 23, and 25-27 were synthesized according to Scheme 2. Nitrosation of VIa-h, followed by reduction with LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, afforded the hydrazines VIIa-h in high yields.<sup>19</sup> The esters VIIIa-h were prepared from VIIah by Fischer indole reaction with ethyl levulinate. The final compounds, 1-19 and 23, were obtained from VIIIa-h using method A. Reduction with LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, followed by bromination and alkylation of the corresponding piperazines<sup>20</sup> or piperidines,<sup>21</sup> gave 1-19 and 23. Demethylation of 19 and 23 using BBr<sub>3</sub> afforded the corresponding phenols 20 and 24. Ester 21 was obtained from 20 by conventional acetylation. Preparation of 50 and 22 from 1 and 15, respectively, involved a Friedel-Crafts reaction in nitrobenzene with cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride. The amides 25-27 were obtained from VIIIh using method B. The ester **VIIIh** was hydrolyzed to give the acid **XIh** which was condensed with the appropriate piperazines using 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) in DMF to yield compounds 25-27.

Scheme 3 depicts the preparation of final compounds **28–30** which have a three-carbon link. Two different reaction sequences were used; the first involved cyanation of the bromo compound **Xh**, followed by acidic hydrolysis, to give the corresponding acid **XII**. The amide **28** was prepared by coupling 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine with the acid **XII** using CDI in DMF. Reduction of this amide by LiAlH<sub>4</sub> gave **29**. The second sequence involved a Fischer indole reaction between the hydrazine **VIIh** and ethyl 4-acetylbutyrate to afford the ester **XIII**. Compound **30** was then prepared from **XIII** using a sequence of reactions similar to those described in Scheme 2 (method A).

The preparation of compounds with different  $R_2$ substituents (31-38) is described in Scheme 4. Starting with the hydrazine VIIh, the Fischer indole reaction was carried out with the appropriate keto acid or keto ester to give derivatives XVb,c and XVIIa-c, respectively. For XVa, 2-ketoglutaric acid was used and decarboxylation took place during the Fischer indole step. Coupling of 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine with the acids XVa-c, followed by reduction with LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, afforded compounds 31-33 (method C). Compounds 34-36 were prepared from the corresponding esters XVIIa-c using method A (similar to that described in Scheme 2). Compound 37 was obtained from **36** by a standard demethylation procedure with BBr<sub>3</sub>. Condensation between hydrazine VIIh and phenyl-2propanone in EtOH provided the corresponding hydrazone which was cyclized under neat acid conditions (5%  $P_2O_5/MeSO_3H)^{22}$  to give the intermediate XVIII. This compound led to the oxamide XIX after reactions with oxalyl chloride<sup>23</sup> and subsequent condensation with 1-(4methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine. Compound XIX was reduced with a borane-THF complex to give 38.

Compounds **39-40** were prepared according to Scheme 5. Nitration of **XIh** in sulfuric acid gave the nitro acid **XIi**. Treatment of this derivative with 1-(4-methyl-2pyridinyl)piperazine in the presence of CDI yielded the nitro derivative **XX**. Catalytic hydrogenation of **XX** over palladium on carbon, followed by reduction of the amide with LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, afforded the aminoindole **39**. Acylation of **39** by conventional procedures with benzoyl chloride gave the indole derivative **40**.

Compounds 41-49, 51, and 52 were synthesized according to Scheme 6. Preparation of acyl esters XXIa-g from VIIIh involved a Friedel-Crafts reaction in chloroform with the corresponding acyl chlorides. Keto amides XXIIIa-f were obtained from XXIa-f using method B (as already described in Scheme 2). Reduction of **XXIIIa**- $\mathbf{f}$  with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> simultaneously reduced the amide and ketone functions to the corresponding amino alcohols XXIVb-f and 41. Dehydration of 41 using p-toluenesulfonic acid afforded the corresponding alkenyl derivative 42. Oxidation of XX-IVb-f and 41 with pyridinium chlorochromate provided the keto derivatives 43-48. Compound 49 (R = Ph) was prepared from 44 using the Wolff-Kishner reduction (Huang-Minlon modification).<sup>24</sup> This reduction was also used to convert the keto esters XXIa,g to the corresponding acids XXIIa,g. The final compounds, 51 and 52, were obtained from XXIIa,g using method C (simular to that described in Scheme 4).

### Scheme $2^a$



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents: (a) NaNO<sub>2</sub>, aqueous HCl, 5–20 °C; (b) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 15–20 °C; (c) ethyl levulinate, HCl, AcOH, 80 °C; (d) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 20 °C; (e) PBr<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, reflux; (f) substituted piperazine or piperidine, KI, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 90 °C; (g) NaOH, EtOH, reflux; (h) CDI, substituted piperazine, DMF, 40 °C; (i) BBr<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -40–20 °C; (j) acetyl chloride, Et<sub>3</sub>N, toluene, reflux; (k) cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, nitrobenzene, 60 °C.

Scheme 3<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reagents: (a) NaCN, H<sub>2</sub>O, EtOH, reflux; (b) AcOH, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, reflux; (c) CDI, 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine, DMF, 40 °C; (d) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 50 °C; (e) ethyl 4-acetylbutyrate, HCl, AcOH, 80 °C; (f) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 20 °C; (g) PBr<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, reflux; (h) 1-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine, KI, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 90 °C.

### **Biological Testing**

Details concerning the test methods are described in the Experimental Section. All compounds (1-52) were evaluated *in vitro* for antihistaminic activity against histamine-induced contractions of isolated guinea pig ileum and for their *in vitro* ability to antagonize PAFinduced platelet aggregation (Tables 1-4). Selected compounds (IC<sub>50</sub> < 1.0  $\mu$ M for *in vitro* histamine antagonism) were assayed for their *in vivo* potency in the histamine skin anaphylactoid reaction in rats following oral administration 1 h before the challenge (Table 5). In addition, the inhibition of 5-lipoxygenase Scheme 4<sup>a</sup>



XVIII

XIX R<sub>1</sub> = 4-Me-2-pyridinyl

<sup>a</sup> Reagents: (a)  $R_2CO(CH_2)_2COOH$ , HCl, AcOH, 80 °C; (b) CDI, 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine, DMF, 40 °C; (c) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 50 °C; (d)  $R_2CO(CH_2)_2COOEt$ , HCl, AcOH, 80 °C; (e) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 20 °C; (f) PBr<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, reflux; (g) 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine, KI, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 90 °C; (h) BBr<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -40-20 °C; (i) (1) phenyl-2-propanone, EtOH, reflux, (2)  $P_2O_5$ , MeSO<sub>3</sub>H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, reflux; (j) (COCl<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O, reflux; (k) 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine, THF, reflux; (l) BH<sub>3</sub>:THF, reflux.

Scheme  $5^a$ 



<sup>a</sup> Reagents: (a)  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $HNO_3$ , 0-20 °C; (b) CDI, 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine, DMF, 40 °C; (c)  $H_2$ , Pd/C (10% Pd), MeOH, 50 °C; (d) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 50 °C; (e) PhCOCl,  $Et_3N$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 20 °C.

in cell-free enzyme preparations from guinea pig PMN leukocytes was determined for the most potent compounds (Table 6).

### **Results and Discussion**

Structure – Activity Relationships. Pyrrolo[3,2,1ij]quinoline derivatives were first developed with 1-(4methyl-2-pyridinyl)- and 1-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine moieties which both demonstrated potent antihistaminic activity in a previous indazolone series.<sup>25</sup> Compounds 1 and 2 were synthesized with a two-carbon link in the 1-position and a methyl group in the 2-position ( $R_3 = R_4 = H$ ). Both derivatives exhibited potent in vitro histamine H<sub>1</sub> antagonism (IC<sub>50</sub> values were 0.032 and 0.076  $\mu$ M, respectively), but only compound 1 with the 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine moiety displayed in vitro PAF antagonism (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.6  $\mu$ M). Moreover, compound 1 showed potent in vivo antihistaminic activity (ED<sub>50</sub> = 4.0  $\mu$ mol/kg).

Initially, the effects of various substituted piperazines and piperidines were investigated. Histamine and PAF antagonist activities in vitro of piperazine analogues (3-10), measured as  $IC_{50}$  values, are given in Table 1. Considering the pyridinylpiperazinyl derivatives (3-6)for both activities, these results show the importance of the methyl group on the pyridine ring (compare 1 with the unsubstituted analogue 6) and the dramatic influence of the position of this methyl group in the three other free positions of the pyridine ring. For example, the 4-methyl derivative 1 was about 300-fold more potent than the 3-methyl analogue 5 in the antihistamine test. Introduction of various substituents on the phenyl of piperazinyl derivatives (8-10) did not appear to increase  $H_1$  antagonist activity in vitro. Furthermore, introduction of a piperidine moiety (11 and 12) did not improve the pharmacological profile in this series, and starting from compound 1 as a lead compound, further modifications were investigated.

Biological results obtained following length and nature modifications of the ethylene link of compound 1 are presented in Table 2 (25 and 28-29). Increasing the chain length to three carbons (29) resulted in a lower potency in both histamine and PAF antagonist activities (56- and 12-fold, respectively). Changing the saturated two-carbon link to a methylenecarbonyl (25) or ethylenecarbonyl (28) also significantly decreased both activities *in vitro*. The same structure-activity relationships were obtained by replacing the 4-methyl-2pyridinyl ring by the 4-fluorophenyl group (27 and 30). It is worth noting that for both histamine and PAF antagonism the 4-methyl-2-pyridinyl derivatives are always more potent than the corresponding 4-fluorophenyl analogues. Thus, on the basis of the structureactivity relationships of the compounds described so far and other compounds not reported here, it is evident that the optimum moiety on the 1-position of the pyrroloquinoline nucleus is a two-carbon link having a 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine moiety.

The change of  $R_2$  from a methyl group to a phenyl or substituted phenyl ring (**32–37**) resulted in a marked decrease in antihistaminic effects on the isolated ileum (Table 3). However, PAF antagonism did not appear to be significantly altered except for compound **36** with a 4-methoxyphenyl substituent which exhibited the best activity in this series (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.6  $\mu$ M). Conversely, replacing the methyl group by a hydrogen atom led to lower potency in both histamine and PAF antagonism (2- and 10-fold, respectively).

The effect of aromatic substitution  $(\mathbf{R}_3)$  on the antihistaminic potency of compound 1 in vitro was found to be variable (Table 4, compounds 18-21 and 39-52). Compounds with methoxy (19), hydroxy (20), acetoxy (21), or small alkanoyl  $(43 \text{ and } 45) \text{ R}_3$  substituents were essentially equipotent to the unsubstituted derivative 1. All of these compounds were also roughly equipotent when tested in vivo (Table 5) except for the acetoxy derivative (21) which was inactive and the methoxy derivative (19) which was about 6-fold more potent than  $1 (ED_{50} = 0.7 \,\mu mol/kg)$ . On the other hand, compounds with large group alkanoyl (47, 48, and 50), alkyl (18 and 41), ethylenic (42), benzoyl (44), and cinnamoyl (46) substituents demonstrated lower potency in the in vitro assays (3-10-fold), whereas some of these compounds (41, 42, 47, and 50) retained the in vivo activity of 1. In the same way, the amino derivative (39) and its benzoylamino analogue (40) maintained the in vivo activity of 1. Introduction of *n*-heptanoyl and 2-methylpropyl groups (48 and 51, respectively) was associated with a 30-fold decrease of in vitro potency, but compound 51 exhibited similar in vivo activity. Finally, a marked drop of both in vitro (up to 300-fold) and in vivo histamine antagonism was observed when a benzyl (49)or a cyclohexylmethyl (52) substituent was introduced at the 8-position. These results suggest a steric influence in this position on the antihistaminic activity which appears to be optimum, mainly in vivo, with a small electron-withdrawing substituent.

Considering PAF antagonism, introduction of the  $R_3$  substituents described above did not markedly improve the activity of compound 1 (Table 4). In contrast to their effect on antihistaminic activity, larger substituents at the 8-position were well tolerated (48 or 50 versus 1) but some substituents such as benzoylamino (compound 40) led to a complete loss of activity.

The effect of aromatic substitution ( $R_3$ ) on the inhibition of 5-lipoxygenase was also investigated (Table 6). Compound 1 was completely inactive on the semipurified enzyme, but interestingly, the introduction of an electron-withdrawing group such as amino (**39**) or alkanoyl (**45** and **50**) led to moderate inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>)

### Scheme 6<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents: (a) RCOCl, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, reflux; (b) NaOH, EtOH, reflux; (c) CDI, 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine, DMF, 40 °C; (d) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 50 °C; (e) *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, toluene, reflux; (f) pyridinium chlorochromate, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 20 °C; (g) hydrazine monohydrate, KOH, diethylene glycol, 80-210 °C.

Table 1. In Vitro Histamine and PAF Antagonism for Compounds 1-12



compd	Z	R <sub>1</sub>	histamine <sup>a</sup> IC <sub>50</sub> , $\mu$ M, or inhibition at 10 <sup>-5</sup> M	ΡΑ <b>F</b> <sup>b</sup> IC <sub>50</sub> , μΜ
1	Ν	4-Me-2-pyridinyl	0.032 (0.024-0.044)	2.6 (1.4-4.8)
2	Ν	4-FPh	0.076 (0.050-0.114)	>100
3	Ν	6-Me-2-pyridinyl	0.35(0.27 - 0.44)	47 (31-72)
4	Ν	5-Me-2-pyridinyl	0.18 (0.15-0.21)	>100
5	Ν	3-Me-2-pyridinyl	7%	67 (48-93)
6	Ν	2-pyridinyl	0.065 (0.034-0.127)	40 (25-63)
7	Ν	5-Br-2-pyridinyl	0.99 (0.48-2.07)	>100
8	Ν	3-Cl-4-FPh	0.51 (0.20-1.33)	>100
9	Ν	2-CH₃OPh	0.25 (0.20-0.30)	82 (67-101)
10	Ν	4-CH₃OPh	9%	82 (59-114)
11	CH	4-F-benzoyl	0.49 (0.39-0.61)	>100
12	CH	Ph	0.45 (0.40-0.51)	75 (70-80)

<sup>a</sup> Tested against histamine-induced contractions of isolated guinea pig ileum. <sup>b</sup> Tested against PAF-induced aggregation of rabbit platelets *in vitro*.

about 10  $\mu$ M). As expected, introduction of a hydroxy substituent (**20**) reinforced the 5-LO inhibitory activity (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.8  $\mu$ M). As previously reported for many phenol derivatives,<sup>26</sup> compound **20** could probably act as a redox mechanism-based 5-LO inhibitor. In support of this hypothesis, the methoxy analogue **19** was completely inactive.

In order to improve the pharmacological profile of 20, i.e., increasing the 5-LO inhibitory activity while retaining both histamine and PAF antagonism, introduction of substituents in the 4-position of the pyrroloquinoline (R<sub>4</sub>) was investigated. In a first time, the effect of this

substitution on histamine antagonism was evaluated with no substituent at the 8-position (Tables 4 and 5, compounds 13-17). Compound 17, with a methyl group, showed potent in vitro and in vivo histamine antagonism comparable to that of 1. Introduction of a phenyl ring (14) led to a 9-fold decrease of potency in vitro but did not significantly affect the in vivo activity. The increase in the chain length leading to an n-butyl (15) was associated with a clear reduction of in vitro antagonism, but, interestingly, with also a 2-fold increase of in vivo potency. However, introduction of a heptyl chain (16) or cyclohexyl group (13) resulted in a reduction of both in vitro and in vivo antihistaminic activities. Moreover, in contrast with the other  $R_4$ substituents, introduction of an n-butyl (15) or phenyl (14) substituent did not markedly alter the PAF antagonistic activity of 1 (Table 4). However, a moderate 5-LO inhibitory activity was found with the n-butyl derivative 15 (Table 6). The n-butyl substituent was thus selected, and compounds with this group and  $R_3$ substituents were investigated.

From previously-described structure-activity relationships, introduction of a hydroxy substituent on the 8-position of derivative **15** was likely to enhance the 5-LO inhibitory activity. Results given in Table 6 show that compound **24** is a potent 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor *in vitro* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.9  $\mu$ M). Introduction of another R<sub>3</sub> substituent such as alkanoyl (**22**) or methoxy (**23**) produced only moderate activity (IC<sub>50</sub> about 10  $\mu$ M). Furthermore, compound **24** displayed potent antihistaminic activity *in vivo* (ED<sub>50</sub> = 2.8  $\mu$ mol/kg) and also exhibited significant PAF antagonism *in vitro* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.0  $\mu$ M).

In conclusion, the introduction of a two-carbon link with a 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine moiety at the 1-position of pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline led to potent

		W-N CH <sub>3</sub>	,	
compd	w	R <sub>1</sub>	histamine <sup>a</sup> IC <sub>50</sub> , $\mu$ M	PAF <sup>b</sup> IC <sub>50</sub> , $\mu$ M
1	$(CH_2)_2$	4-Me-2-pyridinyl	0.032 (0.024-0.044)	2.6 (1.4-4.8)
2	$(CH_2)_2$	4-FPh	0.076(0.050 - 0.114)	>100
25	CH <sub>2</sub> CO	4-Me-2-pyridinyl	5.9 (4.8-7.3)	9.6 (5.4-17)
26	$CH_2CO$	5-Me-2-pyridinyl	8.3 (6.5-10.7)	42 (32-55)
27	$CH_2CO$	4-FPh	7.1 (5.6-8.8)	44 (36-55)
28	$(CH_2)_2CO$	4-Me-2-pyridinyl	1.4(0.9-2.4)	20 (16-25)
29	$(CH_2)_3$	4-Me-2-pyridinyl	1.8(1.4-2.4)	32(21-48)
<b>3</b> 0	$(CH_2)_3$	4-FPh	1.9 (1.3-4.5)	>100

<sup>*a,b*</sup> See Table 1.

Table 3. In Vitro Histamine and PAF Antagonism for Compounds 31-38

compd	$\mathbb{R}_2$	histamine <sup>a</sup> IC <sub>50</sub> , $\mu$ M, or inhibition at 10 <sup>-5</sup> M	$\mathrm{PAF}^{b} \mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$
1	Me	0.032 (0.024-0.044)	2.6 (1.4-4.8)
31	H	0.063(0.038 - 0.103)	31 (25-39)
32	Ph	24%	4.2(3.3-5.3)
33	4-MePh	20%	2.0(1.7 - 2.4)
34	4-FPh	3%	2.0(1.7 - 2.3)
35	4-ClPh	6%	3.3(2.0-5.4)
36	4-CH₃OPh	15%	0.6 (0.4-1.0)
37	4-OHPh	14%	2.0(1.3 - 3.2)
38	CH₂Ph	2.9 (1.4-6.2)	22 (13-38)

<sup>*a,b*</sup> See Table 1.

histamine and PAF antagonism in vitro. The addition of a hydroxy substituent at the 8-position resulted in marked inhibition of 5-LO, while the introduction of an n-butyl chain at the 4-position reinforced the *in vivo* histamine antagonism (3-fold) and the 5-LO inhibitory potency in vitro (2-fold). Table 4 shows the comparison of 24 with standard antihistamines in vitro. Compound 24 was as potent as cetirizine but less potent than mepyramine or azelastine (both about 15-fold) and ketotifen (40-fold). In contrast, 24 was as potent as azelastine in vivo (Table 5) but less potent than ketotifen (17-fold). With respect to the induced platelet aggregation antagonism of PAF, the results in Table 4 indicate that 24 was 55-fold less potent in vitro than RP 59227, a selective PAF antagonist. Finally, Table 6 shows that 24 was about 2-fold more potent in the in vitro semipurified enzyme assay than zileuton, a selective 5-LO inhibitor. Because of these results, compound 24 (KC 11404) was selected for further in vivo investigations.

**Rat Passive Cutaneous Anaphylaxis (PCA).** Compound **24** was evaluated for its antiallergic activity in the rat PCA assay following oral administration 1 h before antigen challenge. These results were compared to those of the reference compounds azelastine and ketotifen. The data presented in Table 7 show that **24** had potent inhibitory activity against the rat PCA reaction (ED<sub>50</sub> =  $0.9 \,\mu$ mol/kg). In this test, **24** was about 8-fold more potent than azelastine and only 2-fold less potent than ketotifen.

Pulmonary Function. Compound 24 and the reference compounds were administered orally to sensitized guinea pigs 5 h before challenge with aerosolized antigen and tested for their ability to inhibit the histaminic phase of antigen-induced bronchoconstriction. Dose-response curves were obtained from which  $ED_{50}$  values were calculated (Table 8). Ketotifen was selected as a reference antihistamine because it was found to be the most active marketed antihistamine tested in the preceeding histamine skin anaphylactoid and PCA tests. Compound 24 was 6-fold less potent than ketotifen. Zileuton and RP 59227 (a pure 5-LO inhibitor and a PAF antagonist, respectively) were also tested and found to have no effect. When ketotifen was compared to compound 24 in this model (administered at 10  $\mu$ mol/kg), and given orally 5, 12, 18, 24, 36, and 48 h prior to aerosol antigen challenge (Figure 1), compound 24 was as active as ketotifen. Compound 24, when given orally 5 h before the induction of intraveous PAF-induced bronchoconstriction (Table 8), was shown to be as potent as the reference PAF antagonist RP 59227.

When tested against the leukotriene-dependent phase of antigen-induced bronchoconstriction (Table 9), compound 24 appeared to be as potent as zileuton, the reference 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor, on the basis of this single-dose comparison. At both 5 and 8 h, the inhibition of the changes in dynamic compliance and resistance by both 24 and zileuton was significantly different from positive control group, but there was no significant difference between the two test compounds at either time.

### Conclusion

A series of novel pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline derivatives has been prepared. Their activities in pharmacological assays against three important mediators associated with asthma (histamine, PAF, and leukotrienes) have been assessed. A number of compounds showed antagonism of both histamine and PAF and inhibitory activity against 5-lipoxygenase. The compound with the most balanced activities against the three mediators (compound 24, KC 11404) contained a two-carbon link with a 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine moiety having a hydroxy group at the 8-position and an *n*-butyl chain at the 4-position on the pyrroloquinoline nucleus. Compound 24 was further evaluated *in vivo* and compared

### Table 4. In Vitro Histamine and PAF Antagonism for Compounds 13-24 and 39-52



compd	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	histamine <sup>a</sup> IC <sub>50</sub> , $\mu$ M, or inhibition at 10 <sup>-5</sup> M	$PAF^{b} IC_{50}, \mu M$
1	Н	Н	0.032 (0.024-0.044)	2.6 (1.4-4.8)
18	Me	Н	0.070(0.039 - 0.125)	9.1(3.4-24.0)
1 <b>9</b>	OMe	Н	0.030(0.014 - 0.065)	6.2(3.4-12.0)
20	OH	Н	0.021(0.012 - 0.039)	39 (22-68)
<b>2</b> 1	OAc	Н	0.023(0.014 - 0.040)	20 (16-25)
39	$\rm NH_2$	Н	0.080 (0.061-0.104)	84 (59-119)
40	NHCOPh	Н	0.086 (0.068-0.119)	>100
41	CH(OH) <i>i</i> -Pr	Н	0.11 (0.06-0.20)	4.2(3.2-5.4)
42	$CH=C(Me)_2$	Н	0.14(0.12 - 0.17)	22 (15-31)
43	isobutyryl	H	0.037(0.031 - 0.043)	1.9(1.5 - 2.3)
44	COPh	Н	0.29(0.18 - 0.45)	0.8(0.5-1.4)
45	COMe	Н	0.019 (0.016-0.023)	7.5 (6.4-8.7)
46	cinnamoyl	Н	0.19 (0.15-0.26)	36 (19-66)
47	pivaloyl	н	0.089(0.062 - 0.128)	5.9 (1.9-18)
48	n-heptanoyl	Н	1.2 (0.9-1.7)	2.4(2.1-2.6)
49	$CH_2Ph$	Н	2.4 (1.3-4.4)	2.8(1.8 - 4.5)
50	COcyclohexyl	Н	0.25(0.19 - 0.34)	2.0 (1.3-3.0)
51	isobutyl	Н	0.80 (0.58-1.10)	4.3 (3.6-5.2)
52	CH <sub>2</sub> cyclohexyl	Н	50%	39 (28-56)
13	Н	cyclohexyl	50%	25 (20-31)
14	Н	Ph	0.28(0.18 - 0.45)	2.2(1.0-4.8)
15	Н	<i>n</i> -butyl	0.98(0.72 - 1.34)	4.8 (3.0-7.7)
1 <b>6</b>	Н	<i>n</i> -heptyl	50%	31 (23-40)
17	Н	Me	$0.033\ (0.024 - 0.045)$	6.9 (4.1-12.0)
22	isobutyryl	<i>n</i> -butyl	0.40 (0.27-0.60)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)
23	OMe	n-butyl	0.34(0.11 - 1.05)	1.4(1.2-1.6)
24	OH	<i>n</i> -butyl	0.26 (0.19-0.34)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)
mepyramine		-	0.0170(0.0125 - 0.0230)	>100
cetirizine			0.38 (0.33-0.44)	>100
ketotifen			0.0064 (0.0053 - 0.0078)	>100
azelastine			0.020 (0.017-0.024)	>100
RP 59227			NA <sup>c</sup>	0.036(0.026 - 0.049)

<sup>*a,b*</sup> See Table 1. <sup>*c*</sup> Not active at  $10^{-6}$  M.

Table 5. In Vivo Histamine Antagonism in Rats

compd	histamine <sup>a</sup> ED <sub>50</sub> , µmol/kg
1	4.0 (3.2-4.9)
14	2.9(1.9-4.4)
15	2.1(1.2 - 3.4)
17	2.6 (1.8-3.8)
19	0.7(0.4 - 1.0)
20	6.0 (3.3-10.9)
22	3.3 (2.3-4.7)
23	0.9 (0.6-1.4)
24	2.8 (1.4-5.6)
39	2.5 (1.9-3.4)
40	3.0 (1.5-6.1)
<b>4</b> 1	2.6(1.4 - 4.8)
42	4.9 (3.7-6.6)
43	2.9 (2.3-3.6)
45	2.0(1.6-2.6)
47	3.3(2.3-4.8)
50	2.9 (2.1-4.0)
51	5.1(2.7 - 9.4)
azelastine	3.3 (1.6-6.9)
ketotifen	0.16(0.13 - 0.20)

 $^{a}$  Compounds were administered per os 1 h before test. Five animals were used for each compound.

with known antagonists of histamine (ketotifen) and PAF (RP 59227) and a pure 5-LO inhibitor (zileuton). The results indicate that compound 24 has comparable *in vivo* activities to those of standard reference compounds. This compound therefore possesses different

Table 6.	Inhibitory Activity	against	Partially-Purified	Guinea
Pig PMN	5-Lipoxygenase			

compd	5-lipoxygenase percent inhibition <sup><i>a</i></sup> (IC <sub>50</sub> , $\mu$ M)
1	0
14	17
15	29
17	19
19	15
20	84 (2.8 (1.6-4.9))
22	50
23	50
24	100 (0.9 (0.7-1.1))
39	64
40	40
41	8
42	41
43	36
45	48
47	22
50	68
51	20
zileuton	100 (2.0 (1.8–2.2))

 $^a$  Inhibitory activity at 10  $\mu M.$  The values indicated are the average of at least two determinations made in two different experiments.

pharmacological activities, making it a promising candidate for the prophylactic management of asthma. Moreover, compound **24** has a chiral center; synthesis and pharmacological evaluations of both corresponding

Table 7. In Vivo Rat Passive Cutaneous Anaphylaxis (PCA) Test

compd	rat PCA test <sup>a</sup> $ED_{50}$ , $\mu mol/kg$
24 azelastine ketotifen	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \ (0.6 - 1.4) \\ 7.0 \ (3.0 - 19.0) \\ 0.44 \ (0.36 - 0.54) \end{array}$

 $^{a}$  Compounds were administered per os 1 h before challenge. Five animals were used for each compound.

Table 8. In Vivo Activity in Pulmonary Function Assays in Which Guinea Pigs Were Dosed Orally 5 h Prior to Test

compd	${f histamine^a\ ED_{50},\ \mu mol/kg}$	${f PAF^b ED_{50},\ \mu mol/kg}$
24	1.9 (1.1-3.2)	2.1(1.0-4.2)
ketotifen	0.31(0.21 - 0.47)	NT
RP 59227	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}^{d}$	$5.5^{e}$
zileuton	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}^{d}$	NT <sup>c</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Histamine phase of allergen-induced bronchoconstriction. <sup>*b*</sup> PAF-induced bronchoconstriction. <sup>*c*</sup> Not tested. <sup>*d*</sup> Not active at 10  $\mu$ mol/kg; n = 6 observations. <sup>*e*</sup> Only two doses were tested to give an estimated ED<sub>50</sub>; thus no statistical comparisons can be made.

enantiomers are in progress. These results will be reported elsewhere.

### **Experimental Section**

A. Chemistry. Melting points were determined in a Büchi 535 capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Philips Model PU 9716 spectrophotometer, the strongest IR absorbances are reported. Proton (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) and carbon (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were taken on Jeol PMX 60 SI and Bruker ARX500 NMR instruments with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ), and signals are expressed as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), or br (broad). Coupling constants are in hertz (Hz). Mass spectra (MS) were recorded using electron ionization (EI) on a Finnigan MAT SSQ 710 mass spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed on a CHN rapid elemental analyzer (Heraeus), and results obtained were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of the theoretical value except when noted. All commercially available chemicals were used as supplied by the manufacturer. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh) from E. Merck. Physical and synthetic data for all final compounds (1-52) are shown in Table 10.

**2-***n***-Butyl-1,2-dihydro-6-methoxyquinoline (IVc).** A solution of 6-methoxyquinoline (50 g, 0.31 mol) in dry THF (150 mL) was cooled to 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. A solution of *n*-butyllithium (2.5 M in hexane, 213 mL, 0.34 mol) was then added dropwise to the reaction mixture for 2 h while the temperature was maintained between 0 and 2 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The solution was added to water (500 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 100 mL). The organic extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated, and chromatographed on silica gel, using toluene as eluent. Compound **IVc** was obtained as a yellow oil (20 g, 35%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.65-2.05 (9H, m), 3.65 (4H, s), 3.75-4.25 (1H, m), 5.40-5.75 (1H, m), 6.05-6.75 (4H, m).

**2-***n***-Butyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline** (**IVa**) was obtained as described for **IVc** from quinoline and *n*-butyllithium as an oil (74%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.80–1.60 (9H, m), 3.65 (1H, s), 4.10–4.35 (1H, m), 5.50 (1H, dd, J = 3 and 10 Hz), 6.20–7.20 (5H, m).

**2-Phenyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline** (**IVb**) was obtained as described for **IVc** from quinoline and phenyllithium (43%): mp 75 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35–8.35 (13H, m).

**2-Cyclohexyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline** (**IVd**). *n*-Butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane, 10 mL, 0.016 mol) was slowly added to a suspension of lithium (10 g, 1.44 mol) and sodium (0.1 g, 0.0044 mol) in dry petroleum ether (350 mL). Cyclohexyl chloride (74 mL, 0.624 mol) was added over a period of 2 h



**Figure 1.** Time course of the activity of compound **24** and ketotifen on the histaminic phase of allergic bronchoconstriction in the guinea pig. Both compounds were administered orally at 10  $\mu$ mol/kg. The changes in PIP were significantly different from controls at all time points except 48 h. There was no significant differences between the two compounds.

 Table 9. In Vivo Activity in the Leukotriene-Dependent Phase of Allergen-Induced Bronchoconstriction in Guinea Pigs

	changes in airway resistance $(R_{\rm L})^a$		changes in compliant	dynamic ce $(C_{\rm dyn})^a$
compd	-5 h	-8 h	-5 h	-8 h
<b>24</b> zileuton	53 (p < 0.001) 62 (p < 0.01)	53 (p < 0.01) 58 (p < 0.001)	$\begin{array}{l} {31\ (p < 0.001)} \\ {39\ (p < 0.05)} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 37 \ (p < 0.05) \\ 52 \ (p < 0.01) \end{array}$

<sup>a</sup> Percent inhibition at 50  $\mu$ mol/kg per os. Statistical comparisons between test and controls were made using the Mann– Whitney nonparametric test<sup>36</sup> (n = 7-53 observations per group).

while the reaction mixture was heated under reflux. The reaction mixture was allowed to react at reflux temperature for a further 2 h and then cooled at room temperature. After 2 h, quinoline (**IIIa**) (40.3 g, 0.312 mol) in dry petroleum ether (50 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, acidified with 6 N HCl (100 mL), and filtered. The filtrate was washed with water until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with toluene to provide **IVd** as a brownish oil (21 g, 32%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.15–2.15 (10H, m), 2.50–3.00 (1H, m), 3.20 (1H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.65 (1H, br s), 6.20–8.00 (6H, m).

Table IV. Flysical and Synthetic Data for Compounds Listed in Tables	Table 10.	Physical	and Sv	nthetic	Data for	Compounds	Listed in	n Tables :	1
--	-----------	----------	--------	---------	----------	-----------	-----------	------------	---

compd	formula	scheme (method)	yield, %ª	mp, °C (solvent <sup>b</sup> )	anal.
1	$C_{24}H_{30}N_4$	2 (A)	64	142-144 ( <i>i</i> -PrOH)	C,H,N
2	C24H28FN3-1.5HCl-0.4H2O	2 (A)	64	245 dec (EtOH)	C.H.N
3	$C_{24}H_{30}N_4H_2O$	2 (A)	31	100 - 102 (EtOH)	C.H.N
4	C24H30N4HCl-0.2H2O	2 (A)	18	$260 \operatorname{dec}(i - \operatorname{PrOH})$	C.H.N
5	$C_{24}H_{30}N_{4}0.4H_{2}O$	$2(\mathbf{A})$	21	98–99 (Å) <sup>c</sup>	C.H.N
6	$C_{23}H_{28}N_4$	$2(\mathbf{A})$	61	96-98 (EtOH- <i>i</i> -PrOH)	C.H.N
7	C23H27BrN40.6H2O	$\overline{2}(\mathbf{A})$	63	239-240 ( <i>i</i> -PrOH)	C.H.N
8	C24H27ClFN3-0.2H2O	$2(\mathbf{A})$	38	$144 - 145 (i - Pr_2O)$	C.H.N
9	C25H31N3O-0.2H2O	$\overline{2}(\mathbf{A})$	50	94-95 (CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> )	C.H.N
10	C25H31N3O-0.2H2O	$2(\mathbf{A})$	24	114 - 115 (CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> )	C.H.N
11	C26H29FN2O0.3H2O	$\overline{2}(\mathbf{A})$	46	124 - 125 ( <i>i</i> -PrOH)	C.H.N
12	$C_{25}H_{30}N_{2}O.4H_{2}O$	$\overline{2}(\mathbf{A})$	24	108-110 (EtOH-hexane)	C.H.N
13	C30H40N4·2HCl-0.7H2O	$\overline{2}$ (A)	53	180 - 182 ( <i>i</i> -PrOH)	C.H.N
14	C30H34N42HCl-0.5H2O	$\overline{2}$ (A)	45	216-225 (EtOH)	C.H.N
15	$C_{99}H_{99}N_4 \cdot C_4H_4O_4 \cdot 0.3H_9O_1$	$\overline{2}$ (A)	46	166 - 168 (EtOH)	C.H.N
16	$C_{23}H_{44}N_{4}(3HC) + 1.4H_{2}O$	$\frac{1}{2}$ (A)	15	150 - 152 (i - PrOH)	C.H.N
17	Cor HooN +2HCl-2.8HoO	$\frac{1}{2}$ (A)	16	190 - 191 (i - PrOH)	C.H.N
18	CarHanN4.2HCl·HaO	$\frac{1}{2}$ (A)	68	232 - 234 ( <i>j</i> -PrOH)	CHN
19	$C_{25}H_{22}H_4 2HOH_2O$	2(A)	59	$104 - 105 (A)^{\circ}$	CHN
20	$C_{20}H_{20}N_4OO$ 8H $_{2}O$	$\frac{2}{2}$ (A)	58	$110 - 112 (A)^{c}$	CHN
21	CocHooN(Oc2HC)/2 6HoO	2(A)	61	220 - 223 (j - PrOH)	CHN
22	$C_{20}H_{10}N_{10}O3HCh06H_{10}O$	$\frac{2}{2}$ (A)	29	140 - 142 (Et <sub>2</sub> O)	$C H N^d$
23	$C_{32}H_{44}U_{4}OOHOIOOH_{2}O$	2(A)	35	178 (EtOH-Et <sub>2</sub> O)	CHN
20	$C_{29}H_{40}N_{4}O_{2}H_{10}H_{12}O_{2}O_{2}O_{2}O_{2}O_{2}O_{2}O_{2}O_{$	2( <b>1</b> )	55	143 - 144 (EtaO)	CHN
25	$C_{2}H_{2}N_{4}OO 3H_{2}O$	2 (A) 9 (B)	51	148 - 150 (beyone)	CHN
26	$C_{24}H_{28}N_{4}O$	2 (B)	74	136 - 138 (hentane)	CHN
20	$C_{24}H_{28}N_{4}O$	2 (B)	79	138 - 139 (here)	CHN
29	$C_{24}H_{26}H_{13}O$	2 (13)	48	140 - 142 (herene)	CHN
20	CarHanN. (2HC) 2 5HaO	3	40	$175 - 176 (i_{Pr} OH)$	CHN
20	$C_{25}H_{22}H_{4}ZHOLZ.0H_{2}O$	3	53	250 dec (MeOH)	CHN
91	$C_{25}H_{20}N_{12}H_{10}H_{10}$	4 (C)	57	$215-217 (i_{2} PrOH)$	CHN
39	$C_{23}H_{28}N_4 2HC h_0.1H_2O$	4(C)	73	210 - 211 (F+OH)	CHN
22	C291132114/211010.21120	4 (C)	25	$67-69(\Delta)^{\circ}$	C H Ne
24	$C_{30} H_{34} H_{4}$	4(0)	50	149 - 144 (F+OH)	C H N
95	$C_{29}II_{31}II_{4}O.4II_{2}O$	$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{A})$	20	165 - 166 (E+OH)	CHN
36	$C_{29}H_{31}C_{111}A_{4}O_{1112}O_{1$	$4(\mathbf{A})$	49	$120 - 121 (i_{2} PrOH)$	CHN
37	$C_{30}H_{34}N_{4}O$	$4(\Lambda)$	42	$216 - 218 (\Delta)^{\circ}$	CHN
38	$C_{29}H_{32}H_{4}O = 0.0112O$	$\frac{1}{4}$ (D)	47	186 - 208 (i - PrOH)	CHN
30	$C_{0}H_{0}N_{2}HC h$	5	26	250  dec (i-PrOH)	CHN
35 40	CarHarNaO0 5HCl	5	20	218 - 220 (F+OH)	CHN
40	CarHanNiO	6 (B)	20 67	$140 - 142 (i_2 Pr_2 O)$	CHN
49	CasHasN. 2HCh0 7Ha0	$A(\mathbf{B})$	71	180 - 190 (i - PrOH)	CHN
42	CasHasN. O.2HCh0.8HaO	$\frac{1}{4}$ (B)	20	210-220 (i-PrOH-EtOH)	CHN
40	$C_{28}H_{36}H_{4}O_{2}HO_{0}H_{0}O_{0}O_{0}O_{0}O_{0}O_{0}O_{0}O_{0}O$	$4(\mathbf{B})$	02 02	210 - 215 (i - PrOH - FtOH)	CHN
45	CooHooN (0.2HCho 2Ha)	$\frac{1}{4}$ (B)	20	258  dec  (i-PrOH)	CHN
-15 46	ConHooN (0.2HC) 9 1HoO	$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{B})$	10	215 - 218 (i - PrOH - EtaO)	CHN
47	CapHapN (0.2HC) 0 4HaO		- <u>-</u>	260  dec (i - PrOH)	CHN
47	CatH. N. O.2HCL0 7H-O	4 (D) A (D)	10	200  uet  (i-1011) 919-914 (i-DmOH)	CHN
40	$C_{31}$ $H_{21}$ $H_{4}$ $C_{21}$ $H_{10}$ $H_{10}$ $H_{10}$	4 (B) 6 (B)	65	159 - 154 (i - PrOH)	CHN
47 50	Ca.H.aN.0.9HCh1 9H-0	Ο(D) 9(Δ)	20	$105 - 106 (j_D - 1011)$	CHN
50 51	CasHaaN .9HCL0 5H-0	2 (A) 6 (C)	04 97	$930-940 (j_P - PH)$	CHN
59	C. H. N. 9HCh1 5H-O	6 (C)	41	200 - 240 (l-1011) 9/3 - 9/5 (j DrOH)	CHN
04	U31H42N4'2HUI'1.0H2U	0(0)	40	240 <sup>-</sup> 240 ( <i>l</i> -FTOD)	U,11,1N

<sup>a</sup> Yield refers to the last synthetic step, is unoptimized, and represents analytically pure material. <sup>b</sup> Recrystallization or trituration solvent. <sup>c</sup> Amorphous material obtained directly via chromatography. <sup>d</sup> N: calcd, 9.02; found 9.43. <sup>e</sup> N: calcd, 12.43; found, 11.71.

**2-***n***-Heptylquinoline (V).** A solution of 2-methylquinoline (II) (25 g, 0.175 mol) in anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL) was added slowly to a solution of *n*-butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane, 109.4 mL, 0.175 mol) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to 5 °C, and a solution of *n*-hexyl bromide (28.9 g, 0.175 mol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The mixture was then suspended in water (500 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 250 mL). The organic extracts were washed with water (200 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to provide V as a thick oil (31 g, 78%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.80–1.85 (13H, m), 2.95 (2H, t, J = 7 Hz), 7.15–8.15 (6H, m).

**2-n-Heptyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline** (VId). Sodium cyanoborohydride (18 g, 0.273 mol) was gradually added to a solution of V (31 g, 0.136 mol) in acetic acid (300 mL) over a 20 min period during which the temperature rose to about 28 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. A solution of 5 N NaOH (1.6 L) was then added to

the reaction mixture over a period of 2 h while maintaining the temperature between 15 and 20 °C. The reaction mixture was then extracted with EtOAc (3  $\times$  200 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated to yield **VId** as a brownish oil (25.5 g, 81%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.70–1.65 (17H, m), 2.60–2.85 (2H, m), 3.05–3.35 (1H, m), 3.65 (1H, s), 6.25–7.15 (4H, m).

**2-***n***-Butyl-6-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIf).** Compound **IVc** (20 g, 0.092 mol) was stirred and heated under reflux in ethanol (400 mL). Metallic sodium (40 g, 1.74 mol) was added in portions to the reaction mixture over a period of 2 h. The reaction mixture was added onto ice/water (500 mL) and extracted with toluene ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The organic extracts were washed with water until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated under reduced pressure to provide **VIf** as a thick oil (18 g, 89%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.70–1.65 (9H, m), 1.70– 2.05 (2H, m), 2.55–2.90 (2H, m), 2.95–3.20 (1H, m), 3.30 (1H, br s), 3.65 (3H, s), 6.25–6.60 (3H, m).

2-n-Butyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIa) was obtained as described for VIf from IVa as an oil (95%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)\,\delta\,0.90\,(3H,\,m),\,1.30-1.60\,(6H,\,m),\,1.75-2.00\,(2H,\,m),\,2.65-2.90\,(2H,\,m),\,3.10-3.30\,(1H,\,m),\,3.65\,(1H,\,s),\,6.35-7.10\,(4H,\,m).$ 

**2-Phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline** (VIb) was obtained as described for VIf from IVb as an oil (80%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.90–2.10 (2H, m), 2.65–2.95 (2H, m), 3.85 (1H, br s), 4.35 (1H, dd, J = 4 and 8 Hz), 6.35–7.00 (4H, m), 7.25 (5H, s).

**2-Methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline** (VIc) was obtained as described for VIf from II as an oil (44%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.80 (3H, d, J = 6 Hz), 1.65–2.00 (2H, m), 2.60–2.90 (2H, m), 3.20–3.65 (2H, m), 6.20–7.00 (4H, m).

**2-Cyclohexyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIe)** was obtained as described for **VIf** from **IVd** as an oil (79%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.10–1.90 (13H, m), 2.60–3.25 (3H, m), 3.65 (1H, br s), 6.15–7.00 (4H, m).

**6-Methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIg)** was obtained as described for **VIf** from 6-methylquinoline as an oil (78%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.90 (2H, q, J = 6 Hz), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.60 (2H, q, J = 6 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.55 (1H, br s), 6.20–6.90 (3H, m).

1-Amino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIIh). 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroquinoline VIh (266 g, 2 mol) was added to a mixture of 12 N hydrochloric acid (330 mL) and ice (800 g). A solution of sodium nitrite (165 g, 2.4 mol) in water (500 mL) was slowly added to the mixture over the course of 2 h while the temperature was kept below 5 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 h and extracted twice with toluene (2  $\times$  250 mL). The resultant organic phase was then washed with water (300 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. Crude 1-nitroso-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline was obtained as a brownish oil (269 g, 91%) and used without further purification. A solution of 1-nitroso-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (108 g, 0.67 mol) in dry THF (500 mL) was added over a period of 4 h to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (50.5 g, 1.33 mol) in dry THF (1 L) while maintaining the temperature between 15 and 20 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, the excess of hydride was carefully destroyed with water  $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$ . The resulting precipitate was then filtered and washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (500 mL). The resulting solution was washed with water, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated to give VIIh as a brownish oil (85.1 g, 86%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.80–2.25 (2H, m), 2.75 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.25 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.45 (2H, s), 6.45 - 7.20 (4H, m)

1-Amino-2-*n*-butyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIIa) was obtained as described for VIIh from VIa as an oil (78%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90–2.00 (11H, m), 2.65 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.00–3.35 (1H, m), 3.50 (2H, s), 6.50–7.00 (4H, m).

1-Amino-2-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIIb) was obtained as described for VIIh from VIb as an oil (89%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00–2.35 (2H, m), 2.60–2.80 (2H, m), 3.30 (2H, br s), 4.35 (1H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.50–7.10 (4H, m), 7.35 (5H, s).

1-Amino-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIIc) was obtained as described for VIIh from VIc as an oil (80%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.25 (3H, d, J = 6 Hz), 1.65–2.05 (2H, m), 2.65 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.00–3.35 (1H, m), 3.40 (2H, s), 6.50– 7.00 (4H, m).

1-Amino-2-*n*-heptyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIId) was obtained as described for VIIh from VId as an oil (69%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.85 (3H, br s), 1.05–1.65 (14H, m), 2.55–2.80 (2H, m), 3.10–3.35 (1H, m), 3.55 (2H, br s), 6.60–7.30 (4H, m).

1-Amino-2-cyclohexyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIIe) was obtained as described for VIIh from VIe as an oil (86%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.05–2.00 (13H, m), 2.65 (2H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 3.00 (1H, q, *J* = 5 Hz), 3.50 (2H, br s), 6.50–7.00 (4H, m).

**1-Amino-2-***n***-butyl-6-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIIf)** was obtained as described for **VIIh** from **VIf** as an oil (92%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90–3.00 (11H, m), 2.70 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.00 (1H, br s), 3.40 (2H, s), 3.65 (3H, s), 6.45– 7.00 (3H, m).

1-Amino-6-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (VIIg) was obtained as described for VIIh from VIg as an oil (78%):

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.85–2.15 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.65 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.50 (2H, br s), 6.65–7.00 (3H, m).

Ethyl (5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIIh). A mixture of VIIh (85 g, 0.57 mol), ethyl levulinate (99 g, 0.69 mol), and 12 N hydrochloric acid (52 mL) in acetic acid (85 mL) was heated for 1 h at a temperature of 80 °C. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 50 °C. The organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and water (200  $\,mL)$  was added. The aqueous reaction mixture was neutralized with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 200 mL). The extracts were washed with water (300 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with toluene/EtOH (98:2) and recrystallized from cyclohexane to give VIIIh (118.8 g, 40%): mp 87-88 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.20 (3H, t, J = 7 Hz), 1.95–2.30 (2H, m), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.85 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.75-4.25 (4H, m), 6.75-7.00 (2H, m), 7.30 (1H, dd, J = 1 and 6 Hz).

Ethyl (4-*n*-butyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4*H*-pyrrolo[3,2,1*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIIa) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIa as a gum (47%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.65– 1.70 (12H, m), 2.00–2.40 (4H, m), 2.80–3.00 (2H, m), 3.65 (2H, s), 4.00 (4H, q, J = 6 Hz), 6.65–7.20 (2H, m), 7.35 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 6 Hz).

Ethyl (5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4-phenyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIIb) was obtained as described for VIIIb from VIIb as a gum (42%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.10 (3H, t, J = 8 Hz), 2.00 (3H, s), 2.30–2.65 (4H, m), 3.65 (2H, s), 4.00 (4H, q, J = 6 Hz), 5.45 (1H, s), 6.60–7.30 (7H, m), 7.45 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 6 Hz).

**Ethyl** (5,6-dihydro-2,4-dimethyl-4*H*-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIIc) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIc as a gum (63%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.25 (3H, t, J = 7 Hz), 1.35 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz), 1.95–2.25 (2H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.85–3.00 (2H, m), 3.65 (2H, s), 4.00 (2H, q, J = 7Hz), 4.30–4.50 (1H, m), 6.75–7.00 (2H, m), 7.35 (1H, dd, J =2 and 6 Hz).

**Ethyl** (4-*n*-heptyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4*H*-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIId) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIId as a gum (24%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90 (3H, br s), 1.10–1.75 (17H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.65–3.00 (2H, m), 3.65 (2H, s), 4.00–4.35 (3H, m), 6.65–7.40 (3H, m).

**Ethyl (4-cyclohexyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo-**[**3,2,1-***ij*]**quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIIe)** was obtained as described for **VIIIh** from **VIIe** as a gum (50%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.00–1.90 (16H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.50–2.85 (2H, m), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.85–4.15 (3H, m), 6.30–7.00 (2H, m), 7.25 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 6 Hz).

Ethyl (4-*n*-butyl-5,6-dihydro-8-methoxy-2-methyl-4Hpyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIIf) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIf as a gum (62%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90–1.70 (12H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.60–2.90 (4H, m), 3.70 (2H, s), 3.80 (3H, s), 4.00–4.30 (3H, m), 6.60 (1H, s), 6.90 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz).

Ethyl (5,6-dihydro-2,8-dimethyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (VIIIg) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIg as a gum (41%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). $\delta$  1.20 (3H, t, J = 7 Hz), 2.05–2.30 (2H, m), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.85 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.60 (2H, s), 3.80–4.15 (4H, m), 6.60 (1H, s), 7.05 (1H, s).

5,6-Dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (IXh). A solution of VIIIh (59 g, 0.23 mol) in dry THF (500 mL) was added over a period of 3 h to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (17.4 g, 0.46 mol) in dry THF (1 L) while keeping the temperature below 22 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to react at room temperature for a further 1 h. The excess of hydride was carefully destroyed with water and the precipitate filtered out and washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The organic filtrate was concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was taken in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (500 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (200 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and then concentrated to afford compound **IXh** (44.3 g, 90%): mp 58-60 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 1.85 (1H, s), 2.00-2.35 (2H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.85 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.85 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.65–7.00 (2H, m), 7.25 (1H, dd, J = 1 and 6 Hz).

**4-***n***-Butyl-5,6-dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-4Hpyrrolo[3,2,1-***ij***]quinoline (IXa) was obtained as described for IXh from VIIIa as an oil (95%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) \delta 1.90– 2.30 (12H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.90 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.80 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 4.15 (1H, m), 6.60–7.05 (2H, m), 7.35 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 6 Hz).** 

5,6-Dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-4-phenyl-4*H*-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (IXb) was obtained as described for IXh from VIIIb as an oil (82%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10 (3H, s), 2.25-3.00 (7H, m), 3.80 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 5.35 (1H, s), 6.50-7.45 (8H, m).

**5,6-Dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2,4-dimethyl-4H-pyrrolo**[**3,2,1-ij**]**quinoline** (IXc) was obtained as described for **IXh** from **VIIIc** as an oil (98%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.30 (3H, d, J = 6 Hz), 2.00 (1H, s), 2.05–2.20 (2H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.90 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.65 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 4.20–4.50 (1H, m), 6.65–7.35 (3H, m).

**4-***n***-Heptyl-5,6-dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-***ij***]<b>quinoline** (IXd) was obtained as described for IXh from VIIId as an oil (93%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90 (3H, br s), 1.15–1.55 (15H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.60–3.10 (4H, m), 3.70 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 4.20 (1H, br s), 6.70–7.30 (3H, m).

**4-Cyclohexyl-5,6-dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline** (IXe) was obtained as described for IXh from VIIIe as an oil (96%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.00–1.90 (13H, m), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.90 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65–4.00 (4H, m), 6.65–7.00 (2H, m), 7.30 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 6 Hz).

4-*n*-Butyl-5,6-dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-8-methoxy-2methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (IXf) was obtained as described for IXh from VIIIf as an oil (98%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90–1.70 (11H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.80–3.05 (4H, m), 3.45 (1H, q, J = 6 Hz), 3.80 (5H, s), 4.20 (1H, br s), 6.50 (1H, s), 6.80 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2,8-dimethyl-4H-pyrrolo**[**3,2,1-***ij*]**quinoline** (**IXg**) was obtained as described for **IXh** from **VIIIg** as an oil (82%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.65 (1H, s), 2.50-3.00 (8H, m), 2.90 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.55-4.00 (4H, m), 6.65 (1H, s), 7.00 (1H, s).

1-(2-Bromoethyl)-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1ij]quinoline (Xh). A solution of IXh (44 g, 0.20 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (50 mL) was cooled to a temperature of 15 °C. A solution of phosphorus tribromide (41.5 g, 0.15 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (85 mL) was slowly added, and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into an ice/water mixture. The organic layer was separated, washed with 10% aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (100 mL) and then with water (100 mL) prior to being dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from EtOH to give Xh (33.7 g, 60%): mp 68-70 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.95-2.35 (2H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.35 (4H, q, J = 5 Hz), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.70-7.05 (2H, m), 7.25 (1H, dd, J = 1and 6 Hz).

Other bromide intermediates (Xa-g) were prepared as described for Xh from corresponding alcohols (IXa-g) but used without further purification.

5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (1). A mixture of Xh (10 g, 0.036 mol), 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine (7.64 g, 0.043 mol), triethylamine (10 mL, 0.07 mol), and KI (0.6 g, 0.0036 mol) was heated at 90 °C in DMF (100 mL) for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (250 mL). The organic phase was washed with 10% aqueous NaOH (50 mL) and then with water until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with toluene/EtOH (95:5). The chromatographed product was crystallized from *i*-PrOH to give compound 1 (8.6 g, 64%): mp 142-144 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3400, 2900, 1600, 1430 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10-2.40 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.85-3.05 (10H, m), 3.75-4.00 (6H, m), 6.35-6.40 (2H, m), 6.85-6.95 (2H, m), 7.40 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 7 Hz), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>) C, H, N.

4-n-Butyl-5.6-dihydro-8-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1ij]quinoline (24). A solution of compound 23 (1 g, 0.002 mol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) was stirred and maintained at -40 °C during dropwise addition of boron tribromide (1 mL, 0.01 mol) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. After the addition of methanol (10 mL), the solution was neutralized with 10% aqueous NaOH and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  50 mL). The organic extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , concentrated, and chromatographed over silica gel, using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOH (95: 5) as eluent. Phenol 24 was crystallized from ether and obtained as a white solid (0.5 g, 55%): mp 143-144 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3420, 2940, 2850, 1600, 1460 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3) \delta 0.75 - 1.60 (9H, m), 2.10 - 2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s),$ 2.25 (3H, s), 2.45-3.10 (10H, m), 3.40-3.80 (4H, m), 3.95-4.35 (1H, m), 6.30–6.75 (5H, m), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.3, 13.8, 20.1, 20.8, 22.0, 24.9, 27.7, 33.5, 44.8, 49.7, 52.6, 59.0, 99.3, 107.2, 107.4, 114.3, 120.4, 125.6, 127.2, 131.2, 147.1, 147.7, 150.5, 159.4; MS (EI) m/e 446 (M<sup>+</sup>), 338, 269, 256, 190, 135. Anal. (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O·0.35H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

5,6-Dihydro-8-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (20). This compound was prepared from 19 as previously described for compound 24 (58%): mp 110-112 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3400, 2920, 1600, 1485, 1430 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10-2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (6H, s), 2.50-3.00 (10H, m), 3.40-3.95 (6H, m), 6.35-6.45 (3H, m), 6.60 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz), 7.15 (1H, br s), 7.95 (1 H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O-0.8H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-8-yl Acetate (21). A mixture of 20 (2.20 g, 0.0056 mol), acetyl chloride (0.88 g, 0.0113 mol), and triethylamine (0.78 mL, 0.0056 mol) in toluene (15 mL) was heated under reflux for 5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into a 10% aqueous solution of NaOH (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water  $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$ , dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and concentrated to yield a thick oil. This was dissolved in *i*-PrOH (30 mL) and treated with a 3 N solution of HCl in *i*-PrOH (5 mL). After having been stirred 4 h, the mixture was filtered to afford the crude HCl salt. Recrystallization from 15 mL of i-PrOH afforded 21 as the hydrochloride salt (1.89 g, 61%): mp 220-223 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3380, 2920, 1725, 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10–2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.50-3.00 (10H, m), 3.55 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.90 (2H, d, J = 5 Hz), 6.40-6.60(3H, m), 6.95 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz), 8.05 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal.  $(C_{26}H_{32}N_4O_2 \cdot 2HCl \cdot 2.6H_2O) C, H, N.$ 

8-(Cyclohexylcarbonyl)-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (50). A solution of aluminum chloride (5.8 g, 0.0432 mol) in dry nitrobenzene (100 mL) was treated successively with cyclohexanecarboxylic acid chloride (3.75 g, 0.0256 mol) and 1 (6 g, 0.0160 mol) in dry nitrobenzene (70 mL). The resulting mixture was heated to 60 °C for 1 h, cooled, poured onto ice, and then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 200 mL). The organic layer was washed successively with 10% aqueous NaOH (100 mL) and then with water (2  $\times$  200 mL) until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the crude base as a thick oil. This was dissolved in *i*-PrOH (50 mL) and treated with a 3 N solution of HCl in *i*-PrOH (13 mL). Following overnight stirring, the mixture was filtered to afford the crude HCl salt. Recrystallization from 20 mL of *i*-PrOH afforded 50 as the hydrochloride salt (2.20 g, 32%): mp 195-196 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 2920, 2840, 1645, 1600, 1480, 1430 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.25-2.00 (10H, m), 2.20-2.40 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.55-3.05 (11H, m), 3.60 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz), 4.15 (2H, t, J = 6Hz), 6.35-6.45 (2H, m), 7.40 (1H, s), 7.85-8.05 (2H, m). Anal. (C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O·2HCl·1.2H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

(5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1yl)acetic Acid (XIh). To a solution of the ester VIIIh (20 g, 0.078 mol) in EtOH (100 mL) was added a 40% aqueous NaOH (20 mL). The mixture was then refluxed for 2 h. EtOH was removed *in vacuo* and the residue dissolved in water (200 mL). The aqueous layer was then acidified to pH 2 by addition of 5 N aqueous HCl. The resulting precipitate was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (250 mL), and the organic layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 200 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from toluene to give **XIh** (16.3 g, 91%): mp 174–176 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00–2.40 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.60 (2H, s), 3.85 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 6.60–7.30 (3H, m), 7.75 (1 H, br s).

5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (25). To a solution of XIh (5 g, 0.022 mol) in dry DMF (120 mL) was added 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (5.70 g, 0.0352 mol), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at 40 °C. A solution of 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine (4.68 g, 0.0264 mol) in dry DMF (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture which was stirred for 3 h at 40 °C. The mixture was then diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (100 mL) and washed successively with 10% aqueous HCl (100 mL), 10% aqueous NaOH (100 mL), and water until neutral. The organic layer was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated to afford an oil which after trituration with hexane gave 25 (4.36 g, 51%): mp 148-150 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 2900, 1635, 1595, 1405 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.10-2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.90 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.40 -4.00 (10H, m), 3.70 (2H, s), 6.30-6.45 (2H, m), 6.65-7.05 (2H, m), 7.30 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 7 Hz), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal.  $(C_{24}H_{28}N_4O \cdot 0.3H_2O) C, H, N.$ 

3-(5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl)propionic Acid (XII). To a solution of Xh (14 g, 0.05 mol) in EtOH (100 mL) was added a solution of NaCN (2.90 g. 0.06 mol) in  $H_2O$  (100 mL), and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 4 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (300 mL), washed with water (2  $\times$  100 mL), and then concentrated to yield the nitrile of XII as a crude oil (10.50 g, 93%). This material (10.50 g, 0.0468 mol) was dissolved in a mixture of  $CH_3COOH$  (50 mL),  $H_2SO_4$  (50 mL), and water (50 mL). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h, cooled to room temperature, and poured into an ice/water mixture. After neutralization with 30% aqueous NaOH, the product was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 250 mL). The organic phase was washed with water  $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$ , dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with toluene/EtOH (95:5) to afford XII (3 g, 26%): mp 80-85 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.05-2.35 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.55-3.10 (6H, m), 3.85 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 6.75-7.35 (3H, m), 9.85 (1H, br s).

**5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[3-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-3-oxopropyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quino-line (28).** This compound was prepared from XII as previously described for compound **25** (48%): mp 140–142 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 2830, 1640, 1600, 1420 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10–2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.45–3.75 (14H, m), 3.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.30–6.55 (2H, m), 6.70–7.40 (3H, m), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O) C, H, N.

5.6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[3-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]propyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (29). A solution of 28 (1.50 g, 0.0037 mol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (0.28 g, 0.074 mol) in dry THF (10 mL) while keeping the temperature below 15 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to react at 50 °C for an additional 1 h. The excess of hydride was carefully destroyed with water. The precipitate was filtered out and washed with THF. The organic filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was taken in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (200 mL). The organic layer was washed with water ( $2 \times 100$  mL), dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and concentrated to yield a thick oil. This was dissolved in *i*-PrOH (20 mL) and treated with a 3 N solution of HCl in i-PrOH (5 mL). After having been stirred 4 h, the mixture was filtered to afford the crude HCl salt. Recrystallization from 15 mL of *i*-PrOH afforded 29 as the hydrochloride salt (0.75 g, 40%): mp 175-176 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 2930, 2830, 1600, 1420 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.65-3.10 (14H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 3.50 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.30-6.50 (2H, m), 6.65-7.15 (2H, m), 7.30 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 7 Hz), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·2HCl·2.5H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

Ethyl 3-(5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl)propionate (XIII) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIh and ethyl 4-acetylbutyrate as an oil (40%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.20 (3H, t, J = 7 Hz), 1.90–2.30 (4H, m), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.75–2.90 (4H, m), 3.75–4.25 (4H, m), 6.75–7.00 (2H, m), 7.30 (1H, dd, J = 1 and 6 Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (XIV)** was obtained as described for **IXh** from **XIII** as an oil (90%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.80 (1H, s), 2.00–2.40 (4H, m), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.75–2.95 (4H, m), 3.70–3.85 (4H, m), 6.65–7.00 (2H, m), 7.25 (1H, dd, J = 1 and 6 Hz).

1-[3-[4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-piperazinyl]propyl]-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (30). This compound was prepared from VIIh as previously described for compound 1, using the appropriate keto ester (ethyl 4-acetylbutyrate) and the appropriate piperazine (1-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine). **30** was obtained with a global yield of 30% (four steps) as the hydrochloride salt: mp 250 °C dec; IR (KBr pellet) 3400, 2910, 1500, 1470, 1445 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.75–2.40 (4H, m), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.45–3.25 (14H, m), 3.95 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 6.75–7.40 (7H, m). Anal. (C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>30</sub>-FN<sub>3</sub>·1.5HCl) C, H, N.

(5,6-Dihydro-4*H*-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetic Acid (XVa). VIIh (17 g, 0.114 mol) and 2-ketoglutaric acid (20 g, 0.137 mol) were heated under reflux at 80 °C for 2 h in a mixture of acetic acid (160 mL) and 12 N aqueous HCl (11.4 mL). The solvent was removed, and the residue was dissolved in water and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 250 mL). The organic layer was separated and extracted with 20% aqueous NaOH (2 × 250 mL). The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 4 by addition of 10% aqueous HCl. The solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 250 mL), and the organic layer was washed with water (3 × 50 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated to give **XVa** as a crude residue (10.60 g, 43%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00–2.35 (2H, m), 2.85–3.10 (2H, m), 3.70 (2H, s), 4.00 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.90 (1H, s), 7.35–7.65 (3H, m).

(5,6-Dihydro-2-phenyl-4*H*-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1yl)acetic acid (XVb) was obtained as described for XVa from VIIh and 3-benzoylpropionic acid (92%): mp 205 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.85–7.15 (2H, m), 7.35 (6H, br s), 10.05 (1H, br s).

[5,6-Dihydro-2-(4-methylphenyl)-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl]acetic acid (XVc) was obtained as described for XVa from VIIh and 3-(4-methylbenzoyl)propionic acid as a gum (79%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.85 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 6Hz), 6.85-7.15 (2H, m), 7.35 (5H, br s), 9.65 (1H, br s).

**5,6-Dihydro-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-***ij***]quinoline (XVIa). This compound was obtained as an oil from XVa using the procedure previously described for <b>25** (82%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 2.00-2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.25-3.90 (8H, m), 3.80 (2H, s), 4.00 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.25-6.45 (2H, m), 6.80-7.15 (3H, m), 7.40 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 7 Hz), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-2-phenyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-***ij***]quinoline (<b>XVIb**) was obtained as described for **XVIa** from **XVb** as a gum (81%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00–2.15 (2H, m), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.90–3.70 (10H, m), 3.75–4.05 (4H, m), 6.25–6.50 (2H, m), 6.85–7.00 (2H, m), 7.45 (6H, s), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-2-(4-methylphenyl)-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-py-ridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]-quinoline (XVIc)** was obtained as described for XVIa from XVc as a gum (88%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00–2.10 (2H, m), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.85–3.70 (10H, m), 3.75–4.00 (4H, m), 6.15–6.40 (2H, m), 6.80–7.50 (3H, m), 7.25 (4H, s), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazi**nyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (31). This compound was prepared from XVIa using the procedure previously described for compound 29 and isolated as the hydrochloride salt (57%): mp 215-217 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3400, 3010, 2910,

## Evaluation of Pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline Derivatives

1640, 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10–2.40 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.55–3.05 (10H, m), 3.90 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 4.00 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 6.40–6.55 (2H, m), 6.75–7.20 (3H, m), 7.35 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 7 Hz), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·2HCl·0.1H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

Ethyl [2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1ij]quinolin-1-yl]acetate (XVIIa) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIh and ethyl 3-(4-fluorobenzoyl)propanoate as an oil (43%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.25 (3H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.15 (2H, q, J = 5 Hz), 3.00 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.75-4.30 (4H, m), 6.85-7.60 (7H, m).

Ethyl [2-(4-chlorophenyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1ij]quinolin-1-yl]acetate (XVIIb) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIh and ethyl 3-(4-chlorobenzoyl)propanoate as an oil (46%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.20 (3H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.10 (2H, q, J = 5 Hz), 2.95 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.80-4.25 (4H, m), 6.85-7.55 (7H, m).

Ethyl [5,6-dihydro-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl]acetate (XVIIc) was obtained as described for VIIIh from VIIh and ethyl 3-(4-methoxybenzoyl)propanoate as an oil (34%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.15 (3H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.10 (2H, q, J = 5 Hz), 2.90 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.55 (2H, s), 3.75 (3H, s), 3.75-4.15 (4H, m), 6.75-7.40 (7H, m).

**2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5,6-dihydro-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (34).** This compound was prepared from **XVIIa** as previously described for compound 1. The global yield was 20% (four steps): mp 142–144 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 2800, 1600, 1480, 1430 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10–2.30 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.40–3.05 (10H, m), 3.50 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.85 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 6.25–6.40 (2H, m), 6.85–7.45 (7H, m), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>31</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>·0.4H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

2-Benzyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XVI-II). VIIh (10 g, 0.0675 mol) and phenyl-2-propanone (10.90 g, 0.081 mol) were heated under reflux for 5 h in EtOH (100 mL). The solvent was removed and the residue chromatographed on silica gel eluting with  $CH_2Cl_2$  to provide the corresponding hydrazone as an orange oil (14 g, 78%). This material (13 g, 0.049 mol) and a solution of 3% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in MeSO<sub>3</sub>H (50 mL) were heated under reflux for 2 days in CH<sub>2</sub>-Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL). The mixture was neutralized by addition of 2.5 N aqueous NaOH. The organic phase was separated, washed with water (2 × 100 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with  $CH_2Cl_2$  to afford **XVIII** as a yellow oil (4.50 g, 37%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.90-2.25 (2H, m), 2.75 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.55-3.80 (2H, m), 3.95 (2H, s), 6.05 (1H, s), 6.65-7.45 (8H, m).

2-Benzyl-5.6-dihydro-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]dioxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (XIX). A solution of XVIII (2 g, 0.0081 mol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) was added to a solution of oxalyl chloride (1.12 g, 0.089 mol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) at a temperature of 5 °C. The reaction mixture was then heated under reflux for 3 h. After cooling to 10 °C, a solution of 1-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)piperazine (2.10 g, 0.0097 mol) in THF (5 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h. To work up the reaction mixture, water (50 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 200 mL). The organic phase was washed with water  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ , dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOH (98:2) to afford XIX as a brown oil (1.37 g, 35%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.90–2.35 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.85 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.35 (4H, s), 3.45-4.00 (6H, m), 4.60 (2H, s), 6.25-6.50 (2H, m), 6.85-7.25 (7H, m), 7.70 (1H, dd, J = 2 and 7 Hz), 8.25 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz).

**2-Benzyl-5,6-dihydro-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-***ij***]quinoline (38). Borane-tetrahydrofuran complex (15 mL, 0.0141 mol) was added to a solution of <b>XIX** (1.35 g, 0.0028 mol) in dry THF (10 mL) under nitrogen while keeping the temperature below 15 °C. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h. The solvent was removed and the residue then dissolved in 3 N aqueous HCl (15 mL) and stirred overnight. The mixture was neutralized by addition of 30% aqueous NaOH. The solution was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 100 mL), and the organic extracts were washed with water (2 × 50 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with toluene/EtOH (99:1) to afford **38** as a yellow oil. This was dissolved in *i*-PrOH (20 mL) and treated with a 3 N solution of HCl in *i*-PrOH (3 mL). After having been stirred 4 h, the mixture was filtered to yield **38** as the hydrochloride salt (0.75 g, 47%): mp 186-208 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 2940, 2830, 1610, 1490, 1450 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.95-3.00 (12H, m), 2.10 (3H, s), 3.20-3.75 (6H, m), 4.00 (2H, s), 6.25-6.45 (2H, m), 6.50-7.40 (8H, m), 7.90 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·2HCl·3.1H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

(5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-8-nitro-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl)acetic Acid (XIi). To a solution of  $H_2SO_4$  (50 mL, 10 mol) were cautiously added XIh (20 g, 0.087 mol) and a solution of HNO<sub>3</sub> (9.60 mL, 0.197 mol) while keeping the temperature below 0 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to react at room temperature for 2 h before being suspended into ice/water mixture and stirred for 1 h. The precipitated product XIi was filtered and washed with water (10.80 g, 45%): mp 200 °C dec; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  2.05–2.45 (2H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.80–3.05 (2H, m), 3.60 (2H, s), 4.00 (2H, t, J = 5 Hz), 7.60 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz), 8.15 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz), 9.30 (1H, br s).

**5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-8-nitro-**1-[**2**-[4-(4-methyl-2pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-**2-oxoethyl**]-**4H**-pyrrolo[**3,2,1**-*ij*]**quinoline (XX).** This compound was prepared from **XI**i as previously described for compound **25** (39%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta 2.00-2.50 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.80-3.10 (2H,$ m), 3.35-3.85 (10H, m), 4.00 (2H, t, <math>J = 5 Hz), 6.35-6.55 (2H, m), 7.65 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz), 8.20 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz).

8-Amino-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (39). To a high-pressure reaction vessel charged with a solution of XX (3 g, 0.007 mol) in MeOH (200 mL) was added 10% Pd on carbon (0.5 g). The resulting suspension was shaken under a 40 psi atmospheric pressure of H<sub>2</sub> at 50 °C for 12 h. Removal of the catalyst and evaporation of the solvent gave the amino amide as a gum (2.80 g, 100%). This material was then reduced without further purification using the procedure described for the preparation of 29 and isolated as the hydrochloride salt (0.95 g, 26%): mp 250 °C dec; IR (KBr pellet) 3420, 3340, 2930, 1600, 1430 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 2.10-2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.50-3.05 (10H, m), 3.35 (2H, br s), 3.45-3.70 (4H, m), 3.85 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.25 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz), 6.30-6.50 (2H, m),6.60 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>5</sub>·3HCl·1.4H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

8-(Benzoylamino)-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (40). To a solution of 39 (2 g, 0.0051 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (25 mL) were added successively triethylamine (1.45 mL, 0.01 mol) and benzoyl chloride (1.08 g, 0.0077 mol) while keeping the temperature below 20 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to react at room temperature for 1 h. The organic phase was washed first with 10% aqueous NaOH (20 mL) and then with water, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with toluene/EtOH (95:5). The resulting product was dissolved in EtOH (20 mL) and treated with a 3 N solution of HCl in EtOH (5 mL). After having been stirred 1 h, the mixture was filtered to yield the crude HCl salt. Recrystallization from 15 mL of EtOH afforded 40 as a hydrochloride salt (0.68 g, 25%): mp 218-220 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3440, 3250, 2920, 1640, 1600, 1440 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  2.10–2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.45-3.10 (10H, m), 3.35-3.70 (4H, m), 3.75-4.10 (2H, m), 6.25-6.55 (2H, m), 7.00-7.60 (6H, m), 7.65-8.10 (3H, m). Anal. (C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O•0.5HCl) C, H, N

Ethyl [5,6-Dihydro-8-(1-oxo-2-methylpropyl)-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl]acetate (XXIa). Aluminum trichloride (63 g, 0.475 mol) was suspended in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (150 mL) at a temperature of 10 °C. A mixture of VIIIh (50 g, 0.194 mol) and isobutyryl chloride (26.3 g, 0.247 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (150 mL) was added to the suspension while the temperature was maintained at 10 °C. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 5 h after which it was poured onto ice and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 250 mL). The combined organic phases were washed first with 10% aqueous NaOH (2 × 250 mL) and then with water until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to afford **XXIa** (42.5 g, 66%): mp 45 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.10–1.45 (9H, m), 2.05–2.45 (2H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.70 (2H, s), 3.85–4.25 (5H, m), 7.45 (1H, s), 8.00 (1H, s).

Ethyl (8-benzoyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1ij]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (XXIb) was obtained as described for XXIa from VIIIh and benzoyl chloride as an oil (71%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.15 (3H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.00–2.40 (5H, m), 2.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.80–4.15 (4H, m), 7.00– 7.80 (7H, m).

Ethyl (8-acetyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1ij]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (XXIc) was obtained as described for XXIa from VIIIh and acetyl chloride as an oil (47%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.25 (3H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.15 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.65 (3H, s), 3.40 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.80–4.15 (6H, m), 7.45 (1H, d, J = 1 Hz), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 1 Hz).

Ethyl (8-cinnamoyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (XXId) was obtained as described for XXIa from VIIIh and cinnamoyl chloride as an oil (33%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.20 (3H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.00–2.40 (5H, m), 2.50 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.55–4.15 (6H, m), 7.00–7.75 (9H, m).

Ethyl (5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-8-pivaloyl-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (XXIe) was obtained as described for XXIa from VIIIh and pivaloyl chloride as an oil (45%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.05–1.45 (12H, m), 2.10 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.55 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.85– 4.15 (4H, m), 7.30 (1H, s), 8.00 (1H, s).

Ethyl (8-heptanoyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-*ij*]quinolin-1-yl)acetate (XXIf) was obtained as described for XXIa from VIIIh and heptanoyl chloride as an oil (63%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.85–1.55 (14H, m), 2.15–2.40 (5H, m), 2.90 (4H, br s), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.80–4.00 (4H, m), 7.30 (1H, br s), 8.00 (1H, br s).

Ethyl [8-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl]acetate (XXIf) was obtained as described for XXIa from VIIIh and cyclohexanecarboxylic acid chloride as an oil (77%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.85– 2.00 (16H, m), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.80 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.80–4.10 (4H, m), 6.50 (1H, s), 7.00 (1H, s).

[5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-8-(2-methylpropyl)-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl]acetic Acid (XXIIa). XXIa (3.70 g, 0.0113 mol) was dissolved in diethylene glycol (40 mL) prior to the addition of KOH (2.60 g, 0.0452 mol). The reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 1 h. Hydrazine monohydrate (3.20 mL, 0.065 mol) was added, and the mixture was then heated at 140-150 °C for 2 h. Water and hydrazine were then removed by evaporation during which the temperature rose to 210 °C, and the reaction mixture was maintained at this temperature for a further 3 h. The solution was then poured into water (200 mL) and washed with ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was acidified with 10% aqueous HCl (100 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$ . The organic phases were separated, washed with water until neutral, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated to provide XXIIa (3 g, 93%): mp 124-126 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90 (6H, d, J = 6 Hz), 2.00-2.60 (5H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.85 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.65 (2H, s), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.60 (1H, s), 7.00 (1H, s)

[8-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-1-yl]acetic acid (XXIIg) was obtained as described for XXIIa from XXIg as a gum (93%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.80-2.00 (13H, m), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.35-2.60 (2H, m), 2.80 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.60 (2H, s), 3.80-3.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.50 (1H, s), 7.00 (1H, s), 9.30 (1H, br s).

5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-8-(1-oxo-2-methylpropyl)-4Hpyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XXIIIa). This compound was prepared from XXIa using the procedures previously described for XIh (acid step) and 25 (condensation step). After crystallization in cyclohexane, the product was obtained in 65% yield for the two steps: mp 120 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.25 (6H, d, J = 7 Hz), 2.10–2.45 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.85–  $3.20\,(2H,\,m),\,3.25-4.10\,(11H,\,m),\,3.80\,(2H,\,s),\,6.35-6.55\,(2H,\,m),\,7.45\,(1H,\,s),\,7.85-8.10\,(2H,\,m).$ 

8-Benzoyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XXIIIb) was obtained as described for XXIIIa from XXIb as a gum (38%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.15-2.35 (5H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.35-3.80 (10H, m), 4.00 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.35-6.50 (2H, m), 7.35-7.80 (7H, m), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz).

8-Acetyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XXIIIc) was obtained as described for XXIIIa from XXIc as a gum (70%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00–2.40 (5H, m), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.60 (3H, s), 3.25–4.10 (14H, m), 6.35–6.50 (2H, m), 7.50 (1H, br s), 7.80–8.10 (2H, m).

8-Cinnamoyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XXIIId) was obtained as described for XXIIIa from XXId as a gum (52%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00-2.50 (8H, m), 2.80-3.00 (2H, m), 3.05-4.00 (12H, m), 6.20-6.50 (2H, m), 6.95-8.15 (10H, m).

**5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-8-pivaloyl-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-ij]-quinoline (XXIIIe)** was obtained as described for XXIIIa from XXIe as an oil (68%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.40 (9H, s), 1.90–2.25 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.65–3.00 (10H, m), 3.35–3.65 (2H, m), 4.00 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.35–6.50 (2H, m), 7.35 (1H, s), 7.85–8.00 (2H, m).

8-Heptanoyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-oxoethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XXIIIf) was obtained as described for XXIIIa from XXIf as an oil (76%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.85–1.60 (11H, m), 2.05–2.15 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.90 (4H, t, J =6 Hz), 3.25–4.00 (12H, m), 6.35 (2H, br s), 7.45 (1H, br s), 7.85–8.00 (2H, m).

**5,6-Dihydro-8-**(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quínolíne (41). This compound was prepared using the procedure previously described for **29**, except for a change in the quantity of lithium aluminum hydride used (3 mol in relation to the starting material, 67%): mp 140-142 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3400, 2920, 2800, 1600, 1430 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.75 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz), 1.05 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz), 2.10-2.40 (3H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.45-3.10 (11H, m), 3.55 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 4.35 (1H, d, J =7 Hz), 6.35-6.55 (2H, m), 6.80 (1H, s), 7.25 (1H, s), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O) C, H, N.

**5,6-Dihydro-8-(1-hydroxybenzyl)-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-***ij*]**quinoline (XXIVb)** was obtained as described for 41 from **XXIIIb** as a gum (92%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10–2.25 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.40–3.00 (11H, m), 3.30–3.65 (4H, m), 3.85 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 5.80 (1H, s), 6.30–6.40 (2H, m), 6.65 (1H, s), 7.10–7.35 (6H, m), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-8**-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4*H*-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XXIVc) was obtained as described for 41 from XXIIIc as an oil (86%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.50 (3H, d, J = 5Hz), 2.00-2.25 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.50-3.00 (12H, m), 3.50 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.30-6.40 (2H, m), 6.80 (1H, br s), 7.20 (1H, br s), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 5Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-8**-(1-hydroxycinnamyl)-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (XXIVd) was obtained as described for 41 from XXIIId as an oil (83%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.00–2.50 (8H, m), 2.50–3.05 (11H, m), 3.40–3.65 (4H, m), 3.80–4.00 (2H, m), 6.25–6.50 (2H, m), 7.00–7.55 (10H, m), 8.00 (1H, br s).

**5,6-Dihydro-8-(1-hydroxypivalyl)-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-***ij*]quinoline (XXIVe) was obtained as described for 41 from XXIIIe as an oil (78%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90 (9H, s), 1.70–2.00 (2H, m), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.30–2.90 (10H, m), 3.30–4.00 (7H, m), 4.35 (1H, s), 6.30 (2H, br s), 6.70 (1H, s), 7.15 (1H, s), 7.90 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz).

**5,6-Dihydro-8-(1-hydroxyheptyl)-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1***ij*]quinoline (XXIVf) was obtained as described for 41 from XXIIIf as an oil (90%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90–1.40 (11H, m), 1.65–2.05 (6H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.50–3.00 (8H, m), 3.50–3.80 (8H, m), 6.30–6.45 (2H, m), 6.80 (1H, s), 7.20 (1H, br s), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz).

5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-8-(2-methylpropenyl)-1-[2-[4-(4methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3.2.1*ij*]quinoline (42). Compound 41 (1 g, 0.00224 mol) and p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.042 g, 0.00224 mol) were dissolved in toluene (40 mL). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 6 h before being washed with 10% aqueous NaOH (50 mL). The organic phase was separated, washed with water until neutral, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in *i*-PrOH (20 mL) and treated with a 3 N solution of HCl in *i*-PrOH (2 mL). After a 4 h period of stirring, the mixture was filtered to give the hydrochloride salt of 42 (0.79 g, 71%): mp 180-190 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 3400, 2910, 1640, 1605 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.85 (6H, s), 2.15-2.40 (8H, m), 2.55-3.05 (10H, m), 3.55 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.25 - 6.75 (3H, m), 7.15 (2H, s), 8.00(1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·2HCl·0.7H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

5.6-Dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1piperazinyl]ethyl]-8-(1-oxo-2-methylpropyl)-4H-pyrrolo-[3,2,1-ij]quinoline (43). Pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) (3 g, 0.014 mol) was added to a solution of 41 (2.50 g, 0.0056 mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was then poured into water (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ , dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with toluene/EtOH (95:5) to afford an oil. It was dissolved in i-PrOH (30 mL) and treated with a 3 N solution of HCl in *i*-PrOH (2 mL). After having been stirred for 4 h, the mixture was filtered to vield the crude hydrochloride salt. Recrystallization from i-PrOH/EtOH gave the hydrochloride salt of 43 (0.94 g, 32%): mp 210-220 °C; IR (Nujol) 2920, 1650, 1600, 1430 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.25 (6H, d, J = 6Hz), 2.10-2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.50-3.10 (10H, m), 3.55 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.75-4.10 (3H, m), 6.30-6.50 (2H, m), 7.50 (1H, s), 7.90-8.10 (2H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 10.0, 19.5, 19.8, 22.5, 22.7, 24.6, 35.0, 41.6, 44.8, 50.9, 57.2, 106.0, 112.0, 116.6, 117.2, 118.6, 121.0, 124.5, 128.5, 135.0, 136.6, 137.2, 152.0, 158.5, 205.4; MS (EI) m/e 444 (M<sup>+</sup>), 336, 268, 254, 190, 161, 135, 121. Anal. (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O·2HCl·0.8H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

8-Benzyl-5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1-*ij*]quinoline (49). This compound was prepared from 44 using the procedure previously described for XXIIa (65%): mp 152–154 °C; IR (KBr pellet) 2900, 2800, 1590, 1470, 1420 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.10–2.40 (2H, m), 2.20 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.40– 3.10 (10H, m), 3.40–3.70 (4H, m), 3.70–4.00 (2H, m), 4.00 (2H, s), 6.30–6.50 (2H, m), 6.55 (1H, s), 7.10 (5H, s), 7.35 (1H, s), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz). Anal. (C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·0.4H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**5,6-Dihydro-2-methyl-8-(2-methylpropyl)-1-[2-[4-(4-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethyl]-4H-pyrrolo[3,2,1***ij*]**quinoline (51).** This compound was prepared from **XXIIa** using the procedures previously described for **25** (condensation step, 70%) and **29** (reduction step). Compound **51** was isolated as the hydrochloride salt (27%): mp 230-240 °C; IR (Nujol) 2930, 2830, 1600, 1440 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (free base, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.95 (6H, d, J = 6 Hz), 2.15-2.30 (2H, m), 2.25 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.55-3.00 (13H, m), 3.65 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz), 3.95 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.35-6.45 (2H, m), 6.60 (1H, s), 7.05 (1H, s), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.8, 19.6, 22.5, 23.0, 24.6, 30.9, 41.5, 44.9, 46.0, 51.1, 57.6, 103.3, 112.0, 114.7, 116.8, 119.9, 120.8, 124.7, 132.5, 132.9, 133.3, 137.5, 152.2; MS (EI) m/e 430 (M<sup>+</sup>), 322, 253, 240, 190, 161, 135. Anal. (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>38</sub>-N<sub>4</sub>·2HCl·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**B.** Biological Methods. In Vitro Measurement of Antihistaminic Activity. Compounds were assayed for their antihistaminic activity on isolated segments of guinea pig ileum using the technique described by Ingenito<sup>27</sup> with minor modifications. Briefly, a 40 cm length of ileum was cut from the ileocaecal junction of intestine taken from male Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs which had been fasted (with free access to drinking water) overnight prior to the day of sacrifice. Excess mesenteric tissue was dissected from the ileum which was then placed in Krebs-Henseleit solution (118 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 2.2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>- $PO_4$ , 24.9 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 11.1 mM *d*-glucose). The first 10-15 cm of ileum was discarded and the remainder cut into 1.5-2.0 cm lengths. The segments were suspended vertically, in 20 mL organ baths containing Krebs-Henseleit solution maintained at 37 °C and pH 7.4 (gassed with 95% oxygen/5% carbon dioxide), with one end fixed to a tissue hook and the other end to an isometric transducer for the measurement of smooth muscle contractions. The tissues were placed under an initial tension of 1 g and washed at 10 min intervals for 40-50 min. The smooth muscle was contracted by the addition of histamine (final bath concentration,  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  M), and the tissue was subsequently washed. This was repeated until at least three consecutive, comparable contractions were obtained before the test compound was added to the organ bath. Compounds were added in a volume of 200  $\mu$ L to give the required bath concentration  $(10^{-6} \text{ M} \text{ for the initial test and})$ a range of concentrations for the estimation of  $IC_{50}$  values) and incubated for 5 min before the addition of histamine (5  $\times$  $10^{-6}$  M). The experiments were carried out using an automated, computer-controlled, isolated organ system (EMKA Technologies, France) which was also used to analyze the data (calculation of IC<sub>50</sub>).

**Platelet Aggregation.** Washed platelets were prepared according to the methods of Chignard et al.<sup>28</sup> and Ardlie et al.<sup>29</sup> with minor modifications. Blood samples were taken from albino Solam-Solaf hybrid rabbits using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt (100 mM in saline) as an anticoagulant (1 vol to 19 vol of blood) and the samples centrifuged for 20 min (400g) to obtain platelet-rich plasma (PRP). The PRP was then centrifuged (15 min, 1400g) to obtain a platelet pellet which was resuspended in calcium-free Tyrode buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.6 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 12 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 2.6 mM EGTA, 5.5 mM glucose, 2.5 g/L gelatin, pH 6.5). The platelets were then treated for 10 min with 0.4 mM lysine acetylsalicylate (8 mM in saline) to inhibit the cyclooxygenase pathway of arachidonic acid metabolism. After centrifugation (15 min, 1400g), platelets were washed twice in calcium-free Tyrode buffer and resuspended at a concentration of  $4 \times 10^6$ cells/ $\mu$ L in the same buffer. C<sub>18</sub>-PAF (Calbiochem) was dissolved in modified Tyrode buffer (without EGTA but containing 1.3 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 H<sub>2</sub>O, and 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4). Aliquots of PAF were diluted with 0.25% delipidated bovine serum albumin and kept on ice until use. The test compounds were dissolved at  $10^{-2}$  M in DMF and then diluted in modified Tyrode buffer. Samples (400  $\mu$ L) consisted of 330  $\mu$ L of modified Tyrode buffer maintained at 37 °C with constant stirring (1000 rpm), 50  $\mu$ L of the platelet suspension (final concentration, 500 000/ $\mu$ L), and 10  $\mu$ L of test compound (final concentration ranging from  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-4}$  M) or solvent. After 90 s of incubation, 10  $\mu$ L of PAF solution was added (final concentration,  $3 \times 10^{-9}$  M). Platelet aggregation was monitored for 5 min by measuring the increase in light transmission in a two-way Chronolog aggregometer (Coultronics). The dose-response relationship for each test compound was determined, and the concentration of compound required to reduce the response to 50% of its maximum value (obtained without test compound) was calculated  $(IC_{50})$ .

Histamine-Induced Cutaneous Reaction in Rat. The method used was that described by Van Wauwe et al.<sup>30</sup> with minor modifications. Male Sprague–Dawley rats (150–165 g), fasted for 16–18 h prior to experimentation, were used throughout the study. Rats were shaved on the back and flank 1 day before challenge. Twenty-four hours later, the animals were treated orally with either 1 mL of vehicle (DMF (1%), Tween 20 (1%), and methyl cellulose A4C (0.1%) in water) or the test compound (dissolved or suspended in the vehicle). One hour later, histamine (40  $\mu$ g in 0.05 mL of saline) were injected subcutaneously, at two sites, on the shaved back, and immediately after, rats were challenged by an intravenous injection of Evans blue dye (26.4 mg/kg) in 0.5 mL of phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2). Thirty minutes later, the animals were killed by cervical dislocation, the dorsal skin was removed, and each blue wheal was cut out and incubated in 2 mL of formamide for 4 days at 37 °C (Mordelet-Dambrine et al.<sup>31</sup>). The amount of dye extracted was determined spectrophotometrically with a multiscan MCC 340 (Flow) spectrometer at 620 nm. Mean values for control and treated groups were determined. The dose required for 50% inhibition (effective dose 50%,  $ED_{50}$ ) and the 95% confidence limits were calculated by regression analysis.

**Passive Cutaneous Anaphylaxis (PCA) in Rat.** In some experiments, PCA according to the method of Martin and Baggiolini<sup>32</sup> with minor modifications was performed in rat skin simultaneously with the histamine test. Anti-ovalbumin IgE-rich serum was prepared in male Brown–Norway rats as follows: 100  $\mu$ g of ovalbumin in 1 mL of sterile saline and 1 mL of Haemophilus pertussis vaccine (Institut Pasteur, France) containing  $5 \times 10^9$  cells and 1.25 mg of aluminum hydroxide were injected intraperitoneally (ip) into two different sites. Twenty days later, the animals received a booster ip injection of 10  $\mu$ g of ovalbumin. Four days after the second injection, the animals were bled and the serum was collected, pooled, and stored at -20 °C.

Male Sprague-Dawley rats used for the histamine cutaneous reaction received an intradermal injection of 0.05 mL of the diluted anti-ovalbumin serum (1:75 in saline) at two sites on the shaved back. Vehicle or test compounds were administered orally 24 h later as previously described. One hour after treatment, the rats were challenged by an intravenous injection of ovalbumin (8.25 mg/kg) together with Evans blue dye (26.4 mg/kg) in 0.5 mL of PBS. The remaining experimental protocol was as described above.

In Vitro Partially-Purified Guinea Pig PMN 5-LO Assay. The assay for 5-lipoxygenase inhibition was performed on 10000g supernatants of elicited guinea pig peritoneal leukocytes and determined on the basis of the inhibition of arachidonic acid-induced 5-HETE formation. Briefly, leukocytes were elicited by ip injection of a thioglycolate solution (27 g/L) to female Dunkin Hartley guinea pigs (body weight, 400-500 g). The cells were harvested 20-22 h later and washed twice with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1% gelatin, and 20  $\mu$ M indomethacin. The suspended leukocytes  $(10^8 \text{ cells/mL})$  were sonicated three times at 4 °C. The resulting suspensions were centrifuged at 10000g for 15 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant containing the 5-lipoxygenase activity was recovered and used as the enzyme preparation. Assays were performed in the presence of 0.5 mM glutathione, 1 mM ATP, 0.3 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and the 10000g supernatant (the equivalent of  $2.5 \times 10^7$  cells). Test molecules were dissolved in DMF (final concentration, 0.5%) and added for 2 min. The generation of 5-HETE was initiated by the addition of 10  $\mu$ M arachidonic acid and the reaction conducted for 5 min before being stopped by the addition of ethyl acetate/MeOH/citric acid (0.2 M; 15/2/0.5, v/v/ v). The generated 5-HETE was extracted twice using the same solvent mixture and dried under nitrogen and the residue reconstituted in 1 mL of MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (75/21/0.02, v/v/v; pH 7.5) and analyzed by RP-HPLC using this solvent mixture at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. 5-HETE was monitored at 235 nm using a UV detector and identified by cochromatography with authentic standard. Quantification of 5-HETE was based on the peak area obtained with calibrated external standards. Results are expressed as a percentage inhibition of the formation of 5-HETE relative to controls containing the DMF vehicle.

Histamine-Dependent Phase of Antigen-Induced Bronchospasm. Female Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs (300-350g) were sensitized by a subcutaneous injection of 10 mg/0.5 mL of ovalbumin (Sigma (R) grade V) in saline followed 4 days later by a booster injection of 5 mg/0.5 mL of ovalbumin in saline.<sup>33</sup> Animals were instrumented 21-24 days later, according to the technique of Dixon and Brodie.<sup>34</sup> Briefly, they were anesthetized with xylazine (Rompun (R), Bayer) and ketamine (Imalgène (R), Rhône-Mérieux) 15 min before being tracheostomized, ventilated, and curarized. Pulmonary insufflation pressure (PIP, a measure of pulmonary bronchoconstriction) was monitored by a differential pressure transducer connected to a Buxco LS 20 respiratory analyzer. Following base-line measurements, bronchoconstriction was induced by exposure to an aerosol (Portasonic, De Vilbiss) of 0.1% ovalbumin in saline for 5 s and measured as the peak increase in pressure over the 5 min following the aerosol. PIP was recorded as the difference in pressure from base line and expressed as a percentage of the maximum pressure obtained when the tracheal cannula was clamped.

Compounds were administered per os at various times before the induction of the bronchoconstriction. They were solubilized (or used as a fine suspension) in a solvent consisting of 1% Tween 20, 2% DMF, and 97% methyl cellulose A4C (0.1%) in distilled water. Animals in the control groups were given solvent only.

Individual values of each animal at each dose were processed to compute a linear regression between log(dose) and response, from which the  $ED_{50}$  value with confidence limits at 95% was calculated.<sup>35</sup> Statistics were used as follows: the presence of a relationship between the effect and the dose of compound used was demonstrated using a De Jonckheere test,<sup>36</sup> and comparisons between two  $ED_{50}$  values were made as described by Bowman and Rand.<sup>37</sup>

**PAF-Induced Bronchoconstriction.** Nonsensitized guinea pigs were prepared as described above. They were challenged with an intravenous injection of 175 ng/kg PAF administered via a cannula inserted into the right jugular vein. Bronchoconstriction was measured as the peak increase in pressure during the 5 min following the injection.

Leukotriene-Dependent Phase of Antigen-Induced Bronchoconstriction. Sensitized guinea pigs were instrumented for whole body plethysmography with external ventilation according to the method described by Diamond and O'Donnell.<sup>38</sup> The animals were anesthetized, ventilated, curarized, and placed in a whole body plethysmograph for the measurement of tracheal flow and transpulmonary pressure. These primary signals were used by the respiratory analyzer (Buxco) to calculate dynamic compliance  $(C_{dyn})$  and total pulmonary resistance  $(R_L)$  which were measured before (base line) and 10 min after induction of a bronchoconstriction with an aerosol of 0.2% ovalbumin in saline for 5 s and expressed as a percent variation from base line. Compound activity was computed as a percent inhibition compared to control values. Animals were pretreated 15 min before the aerosol of ovalbumin with intravenous mepyramine (2 mg/kg in saline), to suppress the histamine-dependent bronchospasm, and indomethacin (5 mg/kg in bicarbonate), to eliminate the effects of cyclooxygenase products of arachidonic acid metabolism.<sup>36</sup>

Acknowledgment. We warmly acknowledge the outstanding technical contributions made to this work by the following: X. Bon, D. Cantin, J. P. Le Cren, C. Morrier, D. Simonetti, I. Bonnet, C. Brun, J. Cesbron, M. Chateau, F. Damien, E. Khebian, V. Maurin, C. Navel, G. Nique, J. F. Pelletier, J. Y. Peulet, and G. Robert. We thank L. Lardet, I. Mathias, and D. Monneret for typing this manuscript.

**Supplementary Material Available:** One table containing IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data for the final compounds not described in the Experimental Section (6 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

### References

- Szelenyi, I. Tomorrow's asthma therapy—are anti-asthmatics in the 90ties anti-inflammatory drugs? Agents Actions 1991, 32, 24-33.
- (2) Dale, H. H.; Laidlaw, P. P. Histamine shock. J. Physiol. 1919, 52, 355-390.
- (3) (a) Brown, M. J.; Ind, P. W.; Causon, R.; Lee, T. K. A novel double isotope technique for the enzymatic assay of plasma histamine: application to estimation of mast cell activation assessed by antigen challenge in asthmatics. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 1982, 69, 20-24. (b) Kaufman, H. F.; Van der Heide, S.; de Monchy, J. G. R.; de Vries, K. Plasma histamine concentrations and complement activation during house dust mite-provoked bronchial obstructive reactions. Clin. Allergy 1983, 13, 219-228.

- (4) (a) Howarth, P. H.; Pao, G. J.-K.; Church, M. K.; Holgate, S. T. Exercise and isocapnic hyperventilation-induced bronchoconstriction in asthma. The relevance of circulating basophils to measurements of plasma histamine. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 1984, 73, 391–399. (b) Morgan, D. J. R.; Moodley, I.; Philipps, M. J.; Davies, R. J. Plasma histamine in asthmatic control subjects following exercise: influence of circulating basophils and
- different assay techniques. *Thorax* **1983**, *38*, 771–777. Santing, R. E.; Schraa, E. O.; Wachters, A.; Olymulder, C. G.; Zaagsma, J.; Meurs, H. Role of histamine in allergen-induced asthmatic reactions, bronchlal hyperreactivity and inflammation in uprestrained guideo price *Even L Bearwood* **1074**, *674*, *10* (5)in unrestrained guinea pigs. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1994, 254, 49-
- (6) Barnes, P. J.; Chung, K. F.; Page, C. P. Inflammatory mediators and asthma. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **1988**, 40, 49-84.
  (7) Laitenen, L. A.; Laitenen, A.; Haahtela, T.; Vilkka, V.; Spur, B. W.; Lee, T. K. Leukotriene E<sub>4</sub> and granulocyte infiltration into with the state of th Arm, J. B.; Spur, B. W.; Lee, T. K. The effects of inhaled
- (8)leukotriene  $E_4$  on the airway responsiveness to histamine in subjects with asthma and normal subjects. J. Allergy Clin.
- (a) Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Bray, M. A.; Doig, M. U.; Shipley,
  M. E.; Smith, M. J. H. Leukotriene B<sub>4</sub>, a potent chemokinetic and aggregating substance release from polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Nature* **1980**, *268*, 264-265. (b) Ford-Hutchinson, A. W. Inhibition of leukotriene biosynthesis. *Ann. N.Y. Acad.*
- Sci. 1991, 629, 133-142.
  Miadonna, A.; Tedeschi, A.; Brasca, C.; Folco, G.; Sala, A.; Murphy, R. C. Mediator release after endobronchial antigen challenge in patients with respiratory allergy. J. Allergy Clin.
- Immunol. 1990, 85, 906-913.
  (11) Taylor, G. W.; Taylor, I.; Black, P.; Maltby, N. H.; Turner, N.; Fuller, R. W.; Dollery, C. T. Urinary LTE<sub>4</sub> after antigen challenge and in acute asthma and allergic rhinitis. Lancet 1**989**, *i*, 584–588.
- (12) Miwa, J.; Miyake, T.; Yamanaka, T. Y.; Sugarani, J.; Sabata, S.; Araki, Y.; Matsumoto, M. Characterization of serum plateletactivating factor acetylhydrolase. Correlation between deficiency of serum PAF acetylhydrolase and respiratory symptoms in asthmatic children. J. Clin. Invest. 1988, 82, 1983-1991.
  (13) Cuss, F. M.; Dixon, C. M. S.; Barnes, P. J. Effects of inhaled
- platelet activating factor on pulmonary function and bronchial
- responsiveness in man. Lancet 1986, ii, 189–192. (14) Wardlaw, A. J.; Moqbel, R.; Cromwell, O.; Kay, A. B. Plateletactivating factor: a potent chemotactic and chemokinetic factor for human eosinophils. J. Clin. Invest. 1986, 78, 1701-1706. (15)
- Janssens, M. M.-L.; Howarth, P. H. The Antihistamines of the Nineties. *Clin. Rev. Allergy* 1993, 11, 111-153.
- (a) Taylor, I. K.; O'Shaughnessy, K. M.; Fuller, R. W.; Dollery, C. T. Effect of cysteinyl-leukotriene receptor antagonist ICI (16)204219 on allergen-induced bronchoconstriction and agoinst for 204219 on allergen-induced bronchoconstriction and airway reactivity in atopic subjects. *Lancet* **1991**, 337, 690-694. (b) Friedman, B. S.; Bel, E. H.; Buntinx, A.; Tanaka, W.; Han, Y.-H. R.; Shingo, S.; Spector, R.; Sterk, P. Oral Leukotriene Inhibitor (MK-886) Blocks Allergen-induced Airway Responses. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **1993**, *147*, 839-844.
- Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1993, 147, 839-844.
  (17) Chung, K. F.; Barnes, P. J. Role of inflammatory mediators in asthma. Br. Med. Bull. 1992, 48, 135-148.
  (18) (a) Goldstein, S. W.; Dambek, P. J. 2-Substituted 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroquinolines from Quinoline. Synthesis 1989, 221-222. (b) Gribble, G. W.; Heald, P. W. Reactions of Sodium Borohydride in Acidic Media; III. Reduction and Alkylation of Quinoline and Variable with Contaction Variable. 1975, 650-652. Isoquinoline with Carboxylic Acids. Synthesis 1975, 650-652. (c) Ziegler, K.; Zeiser, H. Untersuchungen über alkali-organische Verbindungen. VIII. Reaktionen zwischen Lithiumalkylen, Pyridinen und kondensierten Pyridinsystemen. (Alkali-organic combination studies. VIII. Reactions between lithiumalkyl, pyridine and condensed pyridine systems.) Ann. Chem. 1931, 174 - 192
- (19) van Wijngaarden, I.; Hamminga, D.; van Hes, R.; Standaar, P. J.; Tipker, J.; Tulp, M. Th. M.; Mol, F.; Olivier, B.; de Jonge, A. Development of High-Affinity 5-HT<sub>3</sub> Receptor Antagonists. Structure-Affinity Relationships of Novel 1,7-Annelated Indole Derivatives. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 3693-3699.
- (20) (a) Allen, C. F. H.; Thirtle, J. R. In Organic Syntheses; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1955; Collect. Vol. III, pp 136-138. (b) Regnier, G. L.; Canevari, R. J.; Duhault, J. L.; Laubie, M. L. Bronchodilator Substances. Part 1: Synthesis and structureactivity relationships between new benzoic and heterocyclic amide derivatives. Arzneim.-Forsch. 1974, 24 (12), 1964-1970.

- (21) Duncan, L. R.; Helsley, G. C.; Welstead, W. J.; Da Vanzo, J. P.; Funderburk, W. H.; Lunsford, C. D. Aroylpiperidines and Pyrrolidines. A New Class of Potent Central Nervous System Depressants. J. Med. Chem. 1970, 13, 1-6.
- (22) Zhao, D.; Hughes, D. L.; Bender, D. R.; De Marco, A. M.; Reider, P. J. Regioselective Fischer Indole Route to 3-Unsubstituted Indoles. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3001-3006.
- (23) Glaser, T.; Raddatz, S.; Traber, J.; George, A. Tryptamine Derivatives Active on Central Nervous System. U.S. Pat. 4,870,085, 1989.
- (24) Saint-Martino-Descours, M. A.; Cottin, M.; Pacheco, H. Synthèse et structure de nouveaux anti-inflammatoires non ulcérogènes dérivant de l'acide naphtyl-1 acétique. (Synthesis and structure of new antiinflammatory agents without ulcerogenic action: 1-naphthylacetic acid derivatives.) Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1979, 5,455-462
- (25) Heinemann, H.; Jasserand, D., Milkowski, W.; Yavordios, D.; Zeugner, H. 1-Phenylindazol-3-one compounds. U.S. Pat. 4,537,975, 1985.
- (26) Lau, C. K.; Bélanger, P. C.; Dufresne, C.; Sheigetz, J.; Therien, M.; Fitzsimmons, B.; Young, R. N.; Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Riendeau, D., Denis, D.; Guay, J.; Charleson, S.; Peichuta, H.; McFarlane, C.; Lee Chiu, S. H.; Eline, D.; Alvaro, R. F.; Miwa, G.; Walsh, J. L. Development of 2,3-Dihydro-6-(3-phenoxypropyl)-2-(2-phenylethyl)-5-benzofuranol (L-670,630) as a Potent and Orally Active Inhibitor of 5-Lipoxygenase. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1299-1318.
- (27) Ingenito, A. J. Relative activities of three calcium channel antagonists on histamine, acetylcholine and antigen-induced contractions of guinea pig ileum. Agents Actions 1985, 17, 113-120
- (28) Chignard, M.; Le Couedic, J. P.; Vargaftig, B. B.; Benveniste, J. Platelet-Activating Factor (PAF-Acether) Secretion from Platelets: Effects of Aggregating Agents. Br. J. Haematol. 1980, 46, 455-464.
- (29) Ardlie, N. G.; Packam, M. A.; Mustard, J. F. Adenosine Diphosphate-induced Platelet Aggregation in Suspension of Washed Rabbit Platelets. Br. J. Haematol. 1970, 19, 7-16.
- (30)Van Wauwe, J.; Awouters, F.; Niemegeers, J. F.; Janssens, F.; Van Nueten, J. M.; Janssen, P. A. J. In Vivo Pharmacology of Astemizole, a New Type of H1-Antihistaminic Compound. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. 1981, 251, 39-51.
- (31) Mordelet-Dambrine, M.; Dubois, F.; Parrot, J. L. Mesure de la perméabilité capillaire au cours de la libération d'histamine. Effet du cromoglycate disodique. (Measurement of capillary permeability during histamine release. Effect of disodium cromoglycate.) Thérapie 1974, 29, 851-862.
- (32) Martin, U.; Baggiolini, M. Dissociation Between the Anti-Anaphylactic and the Anti-Histaminic Actions of Ketotifen. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 1981, 316, 186-189.
- (33) Kreutner, W.; Sherwood, J.; Rizzo, C. The effect of leukotriene antagonists, lipoxygenase inhibitors and selected standards on leukotriene-mediated allergic bronchospasm in guinea pigs. Agents Actions 1989, 28 (3/4), 173-184.
- (34) Dixon, W. E.; Brodie, T. G. Contribution to the Physiology of the Lungs. Part 1. The Bronchial Muscles, their Innervation, and the Action of Drugs upon them. J. Physiol. 1902, 29, 97-173.
- (35) Tallarida, R. J.; Murray, R. B. Manual of Pharmacologic Calculations with Computer Programs; Springer-Verlag, Inc.: New York, 1981.
- Siegel, S.; Castellan, N. J., Jr. Nonparametric Statistics for the (36)behavioural Sciences; McGraw Hill, Inc.: New York, 1988.
- (37) Bowman, W. C.; Rand, M. J. Quantitative Evaluation and Statistical Analysis of Drug Action. Textbook of Pharmacology, 2nd ed.; McGraw Hill, Inc.: New York, 1981; pp 37-45.
- (38) Diamond, L.; O'Donnell, M. Pulmonary mechanics in normal rats. J. Appl. Physiol. 1977, 43 (6), 942-948.
- Malo, P. É.; Bell, R. L.; Shaughnessy, T. K.; Summers, J. B.; Brooks, D. W.; Carter, G. W. The 5-Lipoxygenase Inhibitory (39)Activity of Zileuton in In Vitro and In Vivo Models of Antigen-Induced Anaphylaxis. Pulm. Pharmacol. 1994, 7, 73-79.

JM940577P